arXiv:1703.04948v1 [math.RT] 15 Mar 2017

COMPLETE CLASSIFICATION OF PSEUDO H-TYPE ALGEBRAS: II

KENRO FURUTANI, IRINA MARKINA

Abstract. We classify a class of 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras related to the representations of the Clifford algebras in the following way. Let $J\colon \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{r,s}) \to \mathrm{End}(U)$ be a representation of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{r,s})$ generated by the pseudo Euclidean vector space $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. Assume that the Clifford module U is endowed with a bilinear symmetric non-degenerate real form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_U$ making the linear map J_z skew symmetric for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. The Lie algebras and the Clifford algebras are related by $\langle J_z v, w\rangle_U = \langle z, [v,w]\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, $v, w \in U$. We detect the isomorphic and non-isomorphic Lie algebras according to the dimension of U and the range of the non-negative integers r, s.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Clifford algebras and admissible modules 3
2.1. Definitions of Clifford algebras 3
2.2. Admissible modules 4
2.3. Mutually commuting isometric involutions 5
2.4. Periodicity of Clifford algebras and admissible modules 7
2.5. Dimensions of minimal admissible modules 7
2.6. Scalar product on the common 1-eigenspace $E_{r,s}$ 8
3. Pseudo H-type Lie algebras and Lie groups 9
3.1. Definitions of the pseudo H-type Lie algebras and their groups 9
3.2. Isomorphisms of pseudo H-type Lie algebras 10
3.3. Automorphisms of the pseudo H-type Lie algebras 12
3.4. Existence of lattices on pseudo H-type Lie groups 14
3.5. Classification of pseudo H-type Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V_{min}^{r,s})$ 15
4. Classification of pseudo H-type algebras 16
4.1. Statements of main results on isomorphisms of Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ 16
4.2. Periodicity of isomorphisms 17
4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.1.1 18
4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.1.2 21
4.5. Proof of Theorem 4.1.3 23
4.6. Final result of the classification 24
5. Appendix 25
References 26

Date: March 16, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B60, 17B30, 17B70, 22E15.

Key words and phrases. Clifford module, nilpotent 2-step Lie algebra, pseudo H-type algebras, Lie algebra isomorphism, scalar product.

The first author was partially supported by the Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 26400124, JSPS., and the second author was partially supported by ISP project 239033/F20 of Norwegian Research Council, as well as EU FP7 IRSES program STREVCOMS, grant no. PIRSES-GA-2013-612669.

1. Introduction

The present work is a continuation of [18] and studies 2-step nilpotent graded Lie algebras associated to the representations of Clifford algebras. Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ be the Clifford algebra generated by the pseudo Euclidean vector space $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ and let $J\colon \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \to \mathrm{End}(U)$ be its representation. Assume that there exists a non-degenerate symmetric bi-linear form $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U$ on the Clifford module U such that $\langle\, J_z x, y\,\rangle_U + \langle\, x, J_z y\,\rangle_U = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ and $x, y \in U$. The pair $(U, \langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U)$ is called an *admissible module*. The set $U \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, endowed with the Lie bracket defined by $\langle\, z, [x,y]\,\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}} = \langle\, J_z x, y\,\rangle_U$ for $x, y \in U$ and zero otherwise, is called a *pseudo H-type Lie algebra* and is denoted by $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$. The pseudo H-type Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,0}(U)$ were introduced in [22] and their generalisations $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ appeared in [11, 19]. The pseudo H-type Lie algebras were actively studied for instance in [5, 12, 17, 18, 23]. They provide a setting for the study of sub-elliptic, hypo-elliptic and Grushin type operators, see for instance [9, 10] and it is an important particular case of the extended Poincaré Lie super algebras [1, 2]. The Lie groups of pseudo H-type Lie algebras constitute a source of interesting examples of sub-Riemannian manifolds [7, 20], nil-manifolds [14, 25], iso-spectral but non-diffeomorphic manifolds [6], Damek-Ricci harmonic spaces [8], symmetric spaces of rank one, [13, 29].

The authors considered in [18] the classification of pseudo H-type Lie algebras whose constructions are based on the minimal dimensional admissible modules $V^{r,s}_{min}$. It has been showen that two Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s}_{min})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{\tilde r,\tilde s}(V^{\tilde r,\tilde s}_{min})$ are never isomorphic unless $r = \tilde r$ and $s = \tilde s$, or $r = \tilde s$ and $s = \tilde r$. Among the couples $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s}_{min})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{s,r}(V^{s,r}_{min})$ there are isomorphic and non-isomorphic Lie algebras.

The present paper is a continuation of [18] and it finishes the classification of the pseudo H-type Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$, where U is not necessary minimal dimensional admissible module. The first step of the classification depends on the fact whether the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ is simple or not. If the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ is simple, then the Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ for $r \neq 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$ is uniquely defined by the dimension of the admissible module U and does not depend on the choice of the scalar product on U. As a consequence in this case we obtain that the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ and $\mathscr{N}_{s,r}(\tilde U)$ are isomorphic if $\dim(U) = \dim(\tilde U)$. If $r = 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$ then the Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ depends on the choice of the scalar product on each minimal dimensional component $(V^{r,s}_{min})_i$ in the decomposition $U = \oplus (V^{r,s}_{min})_i$. If $r - s = 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$, then Clifford algebras $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ are not simple and the classification is more complicate. Recall, that the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,0}(U)$ for $r = 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$ are defined only by number of non-equivalent irreducible terms in the decomposition of U into the direct sum of irreducible submodules and any irreducible Clifford module is actually an admissible module, see [8, 13]. For the pseudo H-type Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$, $s > 0$, and $r = 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$ the classification is more subtle and depends not only on the number of different minimal dimensional modules, but also on the choice of the scalar product on them. These phenomena come from the signature of the scalar product restricted to the "common 1-eigenspace" of a set of maximal number of mutually commuting symmetric isometric involutions of the Clifford action on the minimal dimensional module. It is also closely related to the existence or non-existence of a special type of an automorphism of the Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ which is identity on the centre.

The structure of the paper is the following. We recall basic properties of Clifford algebras, such as, periodicity, the system of involutions, the structure of admissible modules, and other information needed to complete classification of pseudo H-type Lie algebras in Section 2. Section 3 is dedicated to the description of pseudo H-type

Lie algebras and the structure of their isomorphisms and automorphisms. The main result is contained in Theorems 4.1.1–4.1.3, see Section 4. In Section 5, we present Tables 4–7 needed to determine important properties of minimal admissible modules for basic cases (2.8), which are summarised in Table 1 in Section 2.5.

2. Clifford algebras and admissible modules

In the section we collect the information about Clifford algebras and their admissible modules that we need for the classification of pseudo H-type Lie algebras.

2.1. **Definitions of Clifford algebras.** We denote by $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ the space $\mathbb{R}^k$, $r+s=k$, with the non-degenerate quadratic form $Q_{r,s}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} z_i^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{s} z_{r+j}^2$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of the signature (r,s). The non-degenerate bi-linear form obtained from $Q_{r,s}$ by polarization is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{r,s}$. We call the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{r,s}$ a *scalar product*. A vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ is called *positive* if $\langle z, z \rangle_{r,s} > 0$, *negative* if $\langle z, z \rangle_{r,s} < 0$, and *null* if $\langle z, z \rangle_{r,s} = 0$. We use the orthonormal basis $\{z_1, \dots, z_r, z_{r+1}, \dots, r_{r+s}\}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, where the basis vectors $z_1, \dots, z_r$ are positive and $z_{r+1}, \dots, z_{r+s}$ are negative.

Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ be the real Clifford algebra generated by $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, that is the quotient of the tensor algebra

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R}^{r+s}) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \left(\mathbb{R}^{r+s}\right) \oplus \left(\overset{2}{\otimes} \mathbb{R}^{r+s}\right) \oplus \left(\overset{3}{\otimes} \mathbb{R}^{r+s}\right) \oplus \cdots,$$

divided by the two-sided ideal $I_{r,s}$ which is generated by the elements of the form $z \otimes z + \langle z, z \rangle_{r,s}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^{r+s}$. The explicit determination of the Clifford algebras is given in [3] and they are isomorphic to matrix algebras $\mathbb{R}(n)$, $\mathbb{R}(n) \oplus \mathbb{R}(n)$, $\mathbb{C}(n)$, $\mathbb{H}(n)$ or $\mathbb{H}(n) \oplus \mathbb{H}(n)$ where the size n is determined by r and s, see [27].

Given an algebra homomorphism $\widehat{J}\colon \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \to \mathrm{End}(U)$, we call the space U a Clifford module and the operator J_ϕ a *Clifford action* or a *representaion map* of an element $\phi \in \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$. If there is a map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} J\colon & \mathbb{R}^{r,s} & \to & \mathrm{End}(U) \\ & z & \mapsto & J_z, \end{array}$$

satisfying $J_z^2 = -\langle z, z \rangle_{r,s} \mathrm{Id}_U$ for an arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, then J can be uniquely extended to an algebra homomorphism $\widehat{J}$ by the universal property, see, for instance [21, 26, 27]. Even though the representation matrices of the Clifford algebras $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$, and the Clifford modules U are given over the fields $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, we refer to $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ as a real algebra and U as a real vector space. The dimension of U is a multiple of n over the corresponding fields $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$.

If $r - s \not\equiv 3 \pmod 4$, then $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ is a simple algebra. In this case there is only one irreducible module $U = V_{irr}^{r,s}$ of dimension n. If $r - s \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, then the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ is not simple, and there are two non-equivalent irreducible modules. They can be distinguished by the action of the ordered volume form $\Omega^{r,s} = \prod_{k=1}^{r+s} z_k$. In fact, the elements $\frac{1}{2}\big(\mathrm{Id} \mp \Omega^{r,s}\big)$ act as an identity operator on the Clifford module, so $J_{\Omega^{r,s}} \equiv \pm \mathrm{Id}$. Thus we denote by $V_{irr;\pm}^{r,s}$ two non-equivalent irreducible Clifford modules on which the action of the volume form is given by $J_{\Omega^{r,s}} = \prod_{k=1}^{r+s} J_{z_k} \equiv \pm \mathrm{Id}$.

Proposition 2.1.1. [27] *Clifford modules are completely reducible. Namely, let U be a Clifford module, then it can be decomposed into irreducible modules:*

$$U = \begin{cases} \overset{p}{\oplus} V_{irr}^{r,s}, & \text{if} \quad r - s \not\equiv 3 \pmod 4, \\ \big(\overset{p_+}{\oplus} V_{irr;+}^{r,s}\big) \oplus \big(\overset{p_-}{\oplus} V_{irr;-}^{r,s}\big), & \text{if} \quad r - s \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

The numbers p, p_+, p_- are uniquely determined by the dimension of U.

The module $U = \overset{p}{\oplus} V_{irr}^{r,s}$ is called *isotypic* and the second one in (2.1) is non-isotypic.

2.2. **Admissible modules.**

Definition 2.2.1. [11] *A module U of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ is called admissible if there is a scalar product $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U$ on U such that*

$$\langle\, J_z x, y\,\rangle_U + \langle\, x, J_z y\,\rangle_U = 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}. \tag{2.2}$$

We write $(U, \langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U)$ for an admissible module to emphasise the scalar product $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U$ and call it an *admissible scalar product*. We collect properties of admissible modules in several propositions.

Proposition 2.2.2. *Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ be the Clifford algebra generated by the space $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$.*

1. *If $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U$ is an admissible scalar product for $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$, then $K\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U$ is also admissible for any constant $K \neq 0$. We can assume that $K = \pm 1$ by normalisation of the scalar products.*
2. *Let $(U, \langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U)$ be an admissible module for $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ and let $(U_1, \langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{U_1})$ be such that U_1 is a submodule of U and $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{U_1}$ is the restriction of $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U$ to U_1. Then the orthogonal complement ${U_1}^{\perp} = \{x \in U \mid \langle\, x, y\,\rangle_U = 0, \text{ for all } y \in U_1\}$ with the scalar product obtained by the restriction of $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U$ to ${U_1}^{\perp}$ is also an admissible module.*
3. *Condition (2.2) and the property $J_z^2 = -\langle\, z, z\,\rangle_{r,s}\,\mathrm{Id}_U$ imply*

$$\langle\, J_z x, J_z y\,\rangle_U = \langle\, z, z\,\rangle_{r,s}\,\langle\, x, y\,\rangle_U\,. \tag{2.3}$$

4. *Relation (2.3) leads to the following: if $z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ is positive, then*

$$\langle\, v, v\,\rangle_U > 0 \text{ implies } \langle\, J_z v, J_z v\,\rangle_U > 0, \text{ and } \langle\, v, v\,\rangle_U < 0 \text{ implies } \langle\, J_z v, J_z v\,\rangle_U < 0.$$

   In other words the map $J_z\colon U \to U$ is an isometry for $\langle\, z, z\,\rangle_{r,s} = 1$. If $z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ is negative, then

$$\langle\, v, v\,\rangle_U > 0 \text{ implies } \langle\, J_z v, J_z v\,\rangle_U < 0, \text{ and } \langle\, v, v\,\rangle_U < 0 \text{ implies } \langle\, J_z v, J_z v\,\rangle_U > 0.$$

   and the map $J_z\colon U \to U$ is an anti-isometry for $\langle\, z, z\,\rangle_{r,s} = -1$.
5. *If $s > 0$, then any admissible module $(U, \langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U)$ of $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ is neutral, i.e., $\dim U = 2l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and U it is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{l,l}$, see* [11, Proposition 2.2].
6. *If $s = 0$, then any Clifford module of $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,0}$ can be made into admissible with positive definite or negative definite scalar product, see* [21].

Proposition 2.2.3 describes the relation between irreducible and admissible modules. This relation depends on the signature (r, s) of the generating space $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ for the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$. An admissible module of the minimal possible dimension is called a *minimal admissible module*.

Proposition 2.2.3. [11, Theorem 3.1][18, Proposition 1] *Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ be the Clifford algebra generated by the space $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$.*

1. *If $s = 0$, then any irreducible Clifford module is minimal admissible with respect to a positive definite or a negative definite scalar product.*
2. *If $r - s \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod 4$, then a unique irreducible module $V_{irr}^{r,s}$ is not necessary admissible. The following situations are possible:*
   (2-1) *The irreducible module $V_{irr}^{r,s}$ is minimal admissible or,*

(2-2) *The irreducible module* $V^{r,s}_{irr}$ *is not admissible, but the direct sum* $V^{r,s}_{irr} \oplus V^{r,s}_{irr}$ *is minimal admissible.*

(3) *If* $r - s \equiv 3 \pmod 4$*, then for two non-equivalent irreducible modules* $V^{r,s}_{irr;\pm}$ *the following can occur:*

(3-1) *Each irreducible module* $V^{r,s}_{irr;\pm}$ *is minimal admissible. The index* s *must be even in this case.*

(3-2) *None of the irreducible modules* $V^{r,s}_{irr;\pm}$ *is admissible. It can happened for even and odd values of* s*.*

(3-2-1) *If* s *is even, then* $V^{r,s}_{irr;+} \oplus V^{r,s}_{irr;+}$, $V^{r,s}_{irr;-} \oplus V^{r,s}_{irr;-}$ *are minimal admissible modules, and the module* $V^{r,s}_{irr;+} \oplus V^{r,s}_{irr;-}$ *is not admissible.*

(3-2-2) *If* s *is odd, then the module* $V^{r,s}_{irr;+} \oplus V^{r,s}_{irr;-}$ *is minimal admissible and neither* $V^{r,s}_{irr;+} \oplus V^{r,s}_{irr;+}$ *nor* $V^{r,s}_{irr;-} \oplus V^{r,s}_{irr;-}$ *is admissible.*

We emphasize the following corollary, see also Table 1 and the remark after it.

Corollary 2.2.4. *There are two minimal admissible modules only if* $r-s \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ *and* s *is even. We distinguish two cases:*

(3-1) *Each irreducible module is minimal admissible:* $V^{r,s}_{min;+} = V^{r,s}_{irr;+}$ *and* $V^{r,s}_{min;-} = V^{r,s}_{irr;-}$*. In this case* $r \equiv 3 \pmod 4$*,* $s \equiv 0 \pmod 4$*, or* $r \equiv 1 \pmod 8$*,* $s \equiv 2 \pmod 8$*, or* $r \equiv 5 \pmod 8$*,* $s \equiv 6 \pmod 8$*.*

(3-2-1) *Direct sums of irreducible modules are minimal admissible:* $V^{r,s}_{min;+} = V^{r,s}_{irr;+} \oplus V^{r,s}_{irr;+}$ *and* $V^{r,s}_{min;-} = V^{r,s}_{irr;-} \oplus V^{r,s}_{irr;-}$*. It happens if* $r \equiv 1 \pmod 8$*,* $s \equiv 6 \pmod 8$*, or* $r \equiv 5 \pmod 8$*,* $s \equiv 2 \pmod 8$*.*

2.3. **Mutually commuting isometric involutions.** Recall that a linear map Λ defined on a vector space U with a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$ is called *symmetric* with respect to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$, if $\langle \Lambda x, y \rangle_U = \langle x, \Lambda y \rangle_U$, *isometric* (*or positive*) if it maps positive vectors to positive vectors and negative vectors to negative vectors and *anti-isometric* (*or negative*) if it reverses the positivity and negativity of the vectors. Let J_{z_i} be representation maps for an orthonormal basis $\{z_1, \dots, z_{r+s}\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. The simplest isometric involutions, written as a product of the maps J_{z_i}, are one of the following forms:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_1 = J_{z_{i_1}} J_{z_{i_2}} J_{z_{i_3}} J_{z_{i_4}}, & \text{where all } z_{i_k} \text{ are either positive or negative,} \\ \mathcal{P}_2 = J_{z_{i_1}} J_{z_{i_2}} J_{z_{i_3}} J_{z_{i_4}}, & \text{where two } z_{i_l} \text{ are positive and two are negative,} \\ \mathcal{P}_3 = J_{z_{i_1}} J_{z_{i_2}} J_{z_{i_3}}, & \text{where all three } z_{i_k} \text{ are positive,} \\ \mathcal{P}_4 = J_{z_{i_1}} J_{z_{i_2}} J_{z_{i_3}}, & \text{where one } z_{i_l} \text{ is positive and two are negative.} \end{cases}$$

The product involutions of types $\mathcal{P}_3$ and $\mathcal{P}_4$ is not an involution, meanwhile the product of involutions of other types is again an involution. For a given minimal admissible module $V^{r,s}_{min}$, we denote by $PI_{r,s}$ a set of the maximal number of mutually commuting symmetric isometric involutions of the forms (2.4) and such that none of them is a product of other involutions in $PI_{r,s}$. The set $PI_{r,s}$ is not unique, while the number of involutions $p_{r,s} = \#\{PI_{r,s}\}$ in $PI_{r,s}$ is unique for the given signature (r, s). The set $PI_{r,s}$ can be chosen equal for the modules with the admissible scalar product of opposite signs, or for the minimal admissible modules, based on the two non-equivalent Clifford modules. The ordering on the set $PI_{r,s}$ can be made, if necessary, in such a way that at most one involution of the type $\mathcal{P}_3$ or $\mathcal{P}_4$ is included in $PI_{r,s}$ and it is the last one, see Sections 2.4 and 5.

We also need a set $CO_{r,s}$ of *complementary* operators to $PI_{r,s}$, which are products of the maps J_{z_i} and they are ordered according to the ordering in $PI_{r,s}$ such that

$$C_k P_i = P_i C_k \quad \text{for} \quad i < k, \quad \text{and} \quad C_k P_k = -P_k C_k, \quad P_k \in PI_{r,s},\ C_k \in CO_{r,s}.$$

The complementary operators can be isometric or anti-isometric. They guarantee that all the involutions from $PI_{r,s}$ have their both eigenspaces as subspaces of $V^{r,s}_{min}$. Note that if $r-s\equiv 3\,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$ and s is even, then the last involution of type $\mathcal{P}_3$ or $\mathcal{P}_4$ allows to distinguish the modules $V^{r,s}_{min;+}$ and $V^{r,s}_{min;-}$, see for instance the proof of Theorem 2.6.2. Therefore, the number of operators in $CO_{r,s}$ is different and is equal to

(2.5) $p_{r,s}$, when $r-s\not\equiv 3\,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$, or $r-s\equiv 3\,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$ and s is odd,

(2.6) $p_{r,s}-1$, when $r-s\equiv 3\,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$ and s is even.

We define the subspace $E_{r,s}$ of a minimal admissible module $V^{r,s}_{min}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} E_{r,s}=\{v\in V^{r,s}_{min}\mid\ P_iv=v\ \ i\le p_{r,s}\} & \text{in the case (2.5)}\\ E_{r,s}=\{v\in V^{r,s}_{min}\mid\ P_iv=v\ \ i\le p_{r,s}-1\} & \text{in the case (2.6)}\end{array}$$

and call it the "*common 1-eigenspace*" for the system of involutions$PI_{r,s}$. The complementary opertors show whether the common 1-eigenspace $E_{r,s}$ is a neutral or sign definite vector space with respect to the restriction of the admissible scalar product. The sets $PI_{r,s}$ and $CO_{r,s}$ are collected in Section 5 and they will be mentioned precisely when it needs to be done. In the following proposition we explain the possible interaction of involutions with the complementary operators.

Proposition 2.3.1. [17] *If P is an isometric symmetric involution acting on the space $(U,\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U)$ with a neutral scalar product and $E^{\pm1}$ are eigenspaces of P, then*

1. *If $\mathcal{I}\colon U\to U$ is an isometry such that $P\mathcal{I}=-\mathcal{I}P$, then $E^{\pm1}$ are neutral,*
2. *If $\mathcal{A}\colon U\to U$ is an anti-isometry such that $P\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}P$, then $E^{\pm1}$ are neutral,*
3. *If $\mathcal{A}\colon U\to U$ is an anti-isometry such that $P\mathcal{A}=-\mathcal{A}P$, then $E^{\pm1}$ are either neutral or sign definite,*

with respect to the restriction of the scalar product $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U$ to $E^{\pm1}$.

Since the involutions in $PI_{r,s}$ are symmetric, the eigenspaces are orthogonal subspaces. The involutions commute, therefore, they decompose the eigenspaces of other involutions into smaller (eigen)-subspaces. We give an example, that is crucial for the paper.

Example 1. *The set $PI_{\mu,\nu}$ for $(\mu,\nu)=(8,0),(0,8)$ or $(4,4)$ is given by*

$$T_1=J_{\zeta_1}J_{\zeta_2}J_{\zeta_3}J_{\zeta_4},\ T_2=J_{\zeta_1}J_{\zeta_2}J_{\zeta_5}J_{\zeta_6},\ T_3=J_{\zeta_1}J_{\zeta_2}J_{\zeta_7}J_{\zeta_8},\ T_4=J_{\zeta_1}J_{\zeta_3}J_{\zeta_5}J_{\zeta_7}.$$

The set of complementary operators are

$$\begin{array}{llll} CO_{8,0}=\{C_1=J_{\zeta_1}, & C_2=J_{\zeta_2}J_{\zeta_3}, & C_3=J_{\zeta_3}J_{\zeta_4}, & C_4=J_{\zeta_8}\},\\ CO_{4,4}=\{C_1=J_{\zeta_1}, & C_2=J_{\zeta_2}J_{\zeta_3}, & C_3=J_{\zeta_3}J_{\zeta_4}, & C_4=J_{\zeta_8}\},\\ CO_{0,8}=\{C_1=J_{\zeta_4}J_{\zeta_5}, & C_2=J_{\zeta_2}J_{\zeta_3}, & C_3=J_{\zeta_3}J_{\zeta_4}, & C_4=J_{\zeta_8}\}.\end{array}$$

The module $V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ is decomposed into 16 one dimensional common eigenspaces of four involutions T_i. Let $v\in E_{\mu,\nu}$ and $|\,\langle\,v,v\,\rangle_{V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}}|=1$. Then other common eigenspaces are spanned by $J_{z_i}v$, $i=1,\dots,8$, and $J_{z_1}J_{z_j}v$, $j=2,\dots,8$. Hence we have

$$V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}=E_{\mu,\nu}\bigoplus_{i=1}^{8}J_{\zeta_i}(E_{\mu,\nu})\bigoplus_{j=2}^{8}J_{\zeta_1}J_{\zeta_j}(E_{\mu.\nu}). \tag{2.7}$$

The value $\langle\,v,v\,\rangle_{V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}}$ can be ±1 according to the admissible scalar product, however we may assume $\langle\,v,v\,\rangle_{V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}}=1$ by Lemma 3.2.5.

2.4. **Periodicity of Clifford algebras and admissible modules.** We call the following lower values of signature (r,s):

$$\begin{array}{l}(r,s) \text{ for } 0\leq r\leq 7 \text{ and } 0\leq s\leq 3,\\ (r,s) \text{ for } 0\leq r\leq 3 \text{ and } 4\leq s\leq 7, \text{ and}\\ (r,s) \ \in\{(8,0),(0,8),(4,4)\}\end{array} \tag{2.8}$$

the *basic cases*. Recall the periodicity of Clifford algebras:

$$\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}\otimes\mathrm{Cl}_{0,8}\cong\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s+8},\quad \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}\otimes\mathrm{Cl}_{8,0}\cong\mathrm{Cl}_{r+8,s},\quad \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}\otimes\mathrm{Cl}_{4,4}\cong\mathrm{Cl}_{r+4,s+4},$$

where the last one follows from $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}\otimes\mathrm{Cl}_{1,1}\cong\mathrm{Cl}_{r+1,s+1}$, see [3]. The Clifford algebras $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mu,\nu}$, $(\mu,\nu)\in\{(8,0),(0,8),(4,4)\}$, are isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}(16)$. The unique irreducible module is minimal admissible $V^{\mu,\nu}_{irr}=V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ in all the cases. They are isometric to the following spaces: $V^{8,0}_{min}\cong\mathbb{R}^{16,0}\cong\mathbb{R}^{0,16}$, where we fix the first isomorphism for the constructions of Lie algebras due to Lemma 3.2.5, and we also have $V^{0,8}_{min}\cong\mathbb{R}^{8,8}$ and $V^{4,4}_{min}\cong\mathbb{R}^{8,8}$.

Proposition 2.4.1. [17] *If $V^{r,s}_{min}=(V^{r,s}_{min},\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}_{min}})$ is a minimal admissible module, then $V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}=V^{r,s}_{min}\otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ is the minimal admissible module of $\mathrm{Cl}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$, where the scalar product on $V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}$ is given by $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}_{min}}\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}}$ for $(\mu,\nu)\in\{(8,0),(0,8),(4,4)\}$.*

Let $\{\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_8\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{\mu,\nu}$ and $\{z_1,\dots,z_{r+s}\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. Let J_{ζ_i}, $i=1,\dots,8$ and J_{z_j}, $j=1,\dots,r+s$ be the respective representation maps. We denote by $\Omega^{\mu,\nu}=\prod_{i=1}^{8}\zeta_i$ the ordered volume form for $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mu,\nu}$ for $(\mu,\nu)\in\{(8,0),(0,8),(4,4)\}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}_{z_j} &= J_{z_j}\otimes J_{\Omega^{\mu,\nu}} \quad \text{for} \quad j=1,\dots,r+s,\\ \hat{J}_{\zeta_\alpha} &= \mathrm{Id}_{V^{r,s}}\otimes J_{\zeta_i} \quad \text{for} \quad i=1,\dots,8.\end{aligned}$$

Then the maps $\hat{J}_{z_j}$ and $\hat{J}_{\zeta_\alpha}$ are representations of an orthonormal basis $\{z_j,\zeta_i\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{r+\mu,s+\nu}$ on the space $V^{r,s}_{min}\otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$, as it was shown in [17].

2.5. **Dimensions of minimal admissible modules.** The dimensions of minimal admissible modules are determined for basic cases (2.8). Then $\dim(V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min})=\dim(V^{r,s}_{min})\cdot\dim(V^{\mu,\nu}_{min})=16\dim(V^{r,s}_{min})$ for any minimal admissible module $V^{r,s}_{min}$, $(\mu,\nu)\in\{(8,0),(0,8),(4,4)\}$. Moreover $\dim(V^{r,s}_{min})=2^{r+s-p_{r,s}}$ where $p_{r,s}=\#\{PI_{r,s}\}$. This follows from the fact that minimal admissible modules are cyclic modules and $p_{r,s}$ relations among the 2^{r+s} vectors $\{J_{z_{i_1}}J_{z_{i_2}}\cdots J_{z_{i_k}}v\}$, $v\in E_{r,s}$, allow us to span the space $V^{r,s}_{min}$ by $2^{r+s-p_{r,s}}$ number of linearly independent vectors. We describe the number and the dimension of minimal admissible modules $V^{r,s}_{min}$ in Table 1. We indicate whether the scalar product restricted to the common 1-eigenspaces $E_{r,s}$ of the involutions from $PI_{r,s}$ is neutral or sign definite, see Section 2.6 for the proof. We make the following comments to Table 1:

(1) We use the black colour when $\dim(V^{r,s}_{\min})=2\dim(V^{r,s}_{irr})$, see Proposition 2.2.3, items (2-2), (3-2-1), and (3-2-2).
(2) Writing the subscript "$*_{\times 2}$" we show that the Clifford algebra has two minimal admissible modules corresponding to the non-equivalent irreducible modules, see Corollary 2.2.4.
(3) The upper index "$*^N$" means that the scalar product restricted to $E_{r,s}$ is neutral. The fact that $E_{r,s}$ is a neutral space does not depend on the choice of the scalar product on $V^{r,s}_{min}$, see Section 2.6.

TABLE 1. Dimensions of minimal admissible modules

8	$16^{\pm}$	$32^{\pm}$	$64^{\pm}$	$64^{\pm}_{\times 2}$	$128^{\pm}$	$128^{\pm}$	$128^{\pm}$	$128^{\pm}_{\times 2}$	$256^{\pm}$
7	16^N	32^N	$\mathbf{64}^N$	$64^{\pm}$	$\mathbf{128}^N$	$\mathbf{128}^N$	$\mathbf{128}^N$	$128^{\pm}$	256^N
6	16^N	$16^N_{\times 2}$	32^N	$32^{\pm}$	$\mathbf{64}^N$	$\mathbf{64}^N_{\times 2}$	$\mathbf{128}^N$	$128^{\pm}$	256^N
5	$\mathbf{16}^N$	16^N	16^N	$16^{\pm}$	$\mathbf{32}^N$	$\mathbf{64}^N$	$\mathbf{128}^{\pm}$	128^N	$\mathbf{256}^N$
4	$8^{\pm}$	$8^{\pm}$	$8^{\pm}$	$8^{\pm}_{\times 2}$	$16^{\pm}$	$32^{\pm}$	$64^{\pm}$	$64^{\pm}_{\times 2}$	$128^{\pm}$
3	$\mathbf{8}^N$	$\mathbf{8}^N$	$\mathbf{8}^N$	$8^{\pm}$	16^N	32^N	$\mathbf{64}^N$	$64^{\pm}$	$\mathbf{128}^N$
2	$\mathbf{4}^N$	$\mathbf{4}^N_{\times 2}$	$\mathbf{8}^N$	$8^{\pm}$	16^N	$16^N_{\times 2}$	32^N	$32^{\pm}$	$\mathbf{64}^N$
1	$\mathbf{2}^N$	$\mathbf{4}^N$	$\mathbf{8}^N$	$8^{\pm}$	$\mathbf{16}^N$	16^N	16^N	$16^{\pm}$	$\mathbf{32}^N$
0	$1^{\pm}$	$2^{\pm}$	$4^{\pm}$	$4^{\pm}_{\times 2}$	$8^{\pm}$	$8^{\pm}$	$8^{\pm}$	$8^{\pm}_{\times 2}$	$16^{\pm}$
s/r	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

(4) The upper index "$*^{\pm}$" shows that the scalar product restricted to the common 1-eigenspace $E_{r,s}$ of the system $PI_{r,s}$ is sign definite, see Section 2.6. The sign of the scalar product on $E_{r,s}$ depends on the choice of the admissible scalar product on the module $V^{r,s}_{min}$.

For example, the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{3,0}$ has 4 minimal admissible modules $V^{3,0;\pm}_{min;\pm}$, that is, each non-equivalent irreducible module $V^{3,0}_{irr;\pm}$ can be endowed with two scalar products: positive definite, giving the minimal admissible modules $V^{3,0;+}_{min;\pm} = V^{3,0;+}_{irr;\pm}$ and negative definite: $V^{3,0;-}_{min;\pm} = V^{3,0;-}_{irr;\pm}$. However, it does not mean that pseudo H-type Lie algebras corresponding to these four choices are different. We explain details in Theorems 4.1.2 and 4.1.3. To obtain the Table 1 we determine the sets $PI_{r,s}$ and $CO_{r,s}$, given in Section 5.

2.6. **Scalar product on the common 1-eigenspace $E_{r,s}$.**

Lemma 2.6.1. *Let $(V^{r,s}_{min}, \langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle_{V^{r,s}_{min}})$ be a minimal admissible module of $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ and $(V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min}, \langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle_{V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min}})$ a minimal admissible module of $\mathrm{Cl}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$, where $(\mu,\nu) \in \{(8,0),(0,8),(4,4)\}$. Let $E_{r,s}$ and $E_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$ be the common 1-eigenspaces of the involutions $PI_{r,s}$ and $PI_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$, respectively. Then $\dim E_{r,s} = \dim E_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$. Moreover, if $E_{r,s}$ is a neutral vector space, then $E_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$ is also neutral, and if $E_{r,s}$ is a sign definite, then $E_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$ is also sign definite.*

Proof. If $V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min} = V^{r,s}_{min} \otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$, then the assertions follow from Proposition 2.4.1.

Let $V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min}$ be an arbitrary minimal admissible module of $\mathrm{Cl}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$, where $(\mu,\nu) \in \{(8,0),(0,8),(4,4)\}$. Let $\{z_j,\zeta_\alpha\,;\, j=1,\dots,r+s,\ \alpha=1,\dots,8\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{r+\mu,s+\nu}$. We assume $\{z_i,\zeta_\alpha\,;\, i=1,\dots,r,\ \alpha=1,\dots,\mu\}$ are positive and $\{z_{r+j},\zeta_{\mu+\beta}\,;\, j=1,\dots,s,\ \beta=1,\dots,\nu\}$ are negative. We identify $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}\oplus\mathbb{R}^{\mu,\nu} = \mathbb{R}^{r+\mu,s+\nu}$ by using the above bases. We choose $PI_{r+\mu,s+\nu} = PI_{r,s}\bigcup\{T_i\}_{i=1}^4$, where T_i are involutions from Example 1. The system of complementary operators $CO_{\mu,\nu}$ shows that the involutions $T_j \in PI_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$, $j=1,2,3,4$ decompose the space $V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min}$ into 16 common eigenspaces $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^{15}$ of T_j and

$$V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{15} V_i = V_0 \bigoplus_{j=1}^{8} J_{\zeta_j}(V_0) \bigoplus_{j=2}^{8} J_{\zeta_1} J_{\zeta_j}(V_0), \tag{2.9}$$

where V_0 is the common 1-eigenspace of T_j, $j=1,2,3,4$. Since the generators J_{z_j}, $j=1,\dots,r+s$, commute with involutions T_i, $i=1,2,3,4$, we can regard V_0

as a minimal admissible module $V^{r,s}_{min}$ of $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$. The involutions from $PI_{r,s}$ act on $V_0 = V^{r,s}_{min}$ and decompose it into their common eigenspaces. Then by definition $E_{r+\mu,s+\nu} = E_{r,s}$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem 2.6.2. *Let $E_{r,s} \subset V^{r,s}_{min}$ be a common 1-eigenspace of the system $PI_{r,s}$. Then the restriction of the admissible scalar product on $E_{r,s}$ is sign definite for $r \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod 4$ and $s \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ or for $r \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and arbitrary s. Otherwise the restriction of the admissible scalar product on the common 1-eigenspaces $E_{r,s}$ is neutral.*

Proof. We find the sign of the scalar products on $E_{r,s}$ for basic cases (2.8) and then apply Lemma 2.6.1.

Case $(r, 0)$. The scalar products on the common 1-eigenspaces $E_{r,0}$ are sign definite because the admissible scalar products on $V^{r,0}_{min}$ are sign definite.

Case $(r, 4)$, $r = 0, 1, 2, 4$. The system of involutions $PI_{r,4}$, $r = 0, 1, 2$ and their complementary operators are given in Table 5. The complementary operators gives the dimension of $E_{r,s}$ and the basis shows that the space is sign definite. The case $(4, 4)$ was considered in Example 1.

Cases $(3, s)$, $s = 0, \dots, 7$ and $(7, s)$, $s = 1, 2, 3$. The system of involutions and their complementary operators are given in Table 6. Notice that the involution $J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$ belongs to all the systems. The isometric complementary operators ensures that the common 1-eigenspace E^1 for involution from $PI_{r,s} \setminus \{J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}\}$ is neutral. Let $E^{1,1}$ and $E^{1,-1}$ be the eigenspaces of $J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$ corresponding to the eigenvalues 1 and -1, respectively. The last complementary operator from $CO_{r,s}$, that is anti-isometry, shows that the spaces $E^{1,1} \cap E^1$ and $E^{1,-1} \cap E^1$ are sign definite with opposite signs of scalar products on $E^{1,1} \cap E^1$ and $E^{1,-1} \cap E^1$.

The case $E_{3,4}$ is special since there are two non-equivalent irreducible modules $V^{3,4}_{irr;+}$ and $V^{3,4}_{irr;-}$, where the volume form $\Omega^{3,4} = P_1P_3P_4$ acts as Id and $-\mathrm{Id}$ respectively. It shows that $P_4 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3} = -\mathrm{Id}$ on $V^{3,4}_{irr;+}$ and $P_4 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3} = \mathrm{Id}$ on $V^{3,4}_{irr;-}$.

The proof of the statement concerning the neutral common 1-eigenspace follows from the systems $PI_{r,s}$ and $CO_{r,s}$ for mentioned values of r and s, see Table 7 in Section 5. □

3. Pseudo H-type Lie algebras and Lie groups

In this section we recall basic facts on isomorphisms between pseudo H-type algebras and discuss some properties of the automorphism groups $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))$ of pseudo H-type algebras. The Table 2 contains the classification result for the pseudo H-type $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s}_{min})$ obtained in [18].

3.1. **Definitions of the pseudo H-type Lie algebras and their groups.** Let $(U, \langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_U)$ be an admissible module of a Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$. We define a vector valued skew-symmetric bi-linear form

$$[\cdot\,,\cdot]\colon \begin{array}{ccc} U \times U & \to & \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \\ (x, y) & \longmapsto & [x, y] \end{array}$$

by the relation

$$\langle\, J_z x, y\,\rangle_U = \langle\, z, [x, y]\,\rangle_{r,s}\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Definition 3.1.1. [11] *The space $U \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ endowed with the Lie bracket*

$$[(x, z), (y, w)] = (0, [x, y])$$

is called a pseudo H-type Lie algebra and it is denoted by $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$.

A pseudo H-type Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ is 2-step nilpotent, the space $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ is the centre, and the direct sum $U \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ is orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U + \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{r,s}$.

The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula allows us to define the Lie group structure on the space $U \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ by

$$(x,z) * (y,w) = \big(x+y, z+w+\frac{1}{2}[x,y]\big).$$

The Lie group is denoted by $G_{r,s}(U)$ and is called the pseudo H-type Lie group. Note that the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$ is implicitly included in the definitions of the H-type Lie algebra and the corresponding Lie group. In general, the Lie algebra structure might change if we replace the admissible scalar product on U, see [4, 15, 16]. The main purpose of the present paper is to classify the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$, whose constructions involve the non-minimal admissible modules U of Clifford algebras $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$.

3.2. **Isomorphisms of pseudo H-type Lie algebras.** Let U and $\tilde{U}$ be two vector spaces with scalar products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{U}}$ respectively. Let $\Lambda \colon U \to \tilde{U}$ be a linear map. The operator $\Lambda^\tau \colon \tilde{U} \to U$ defined by the relation

$$\langle \Lambda x, y \rangle_{\tilde{U}} = \langle x, \Lambda^\tau y \rangle_U \tag{3.2}$$

is called the adjoint operator with respect to the scalar products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{U}}$. If both scalar products are positive definite, we use the notation ${}^t\Lambda$.

Let $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ and $\mathscr{N}_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}(\tilde{U})$ be two pseudo H-type Lie algebras with $r+s = \tilde{r}+\tilde{s} = k$ and $\dim(U) = \dim(\tilde{U}) = n$. A Lie algebra isomorphism $\Phi \colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U) \to \mathscr{N}_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}(\tilde{U})$ has the form

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} : U \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \longrightarrow \tilde{U} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}, \quad A \in \mathrm{GL}(n),\ C \in \mathrm{GL}(k),$$

$B \colon U \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is a linear map, see [24]. The action is defined by $\Phi(x,z) = (Ax, Bx + Cz)$, $x \in U$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. If we write $J_z \colon U \to U$ and $\tilde{J}_w \colon \tilde{U} \to \tilde{U}$ for the corresponding actions on the Clifford modules, then the matrices A and C satisfy the relation

$$A^\tau \tilde{J}_w A = J_{C^\tau(w)} \quad \text{for all} \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}, \tag{3.3}$$

by (3.1). The matrices A^τ and C^τ are defined as in (3.2). The matrix B is arbitrary and we can choose $B = 0$ for simplicity. To short the notation we write $\Phi = A \oplus C$ for the isomorphism $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$. In following propositions we collect the properties of isomorphisms of H-type Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ and $\mathscr{N}_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}(\tilde{U})$ for different values of signatures (r,s) and $(\tilde{r},\tilde{s})$ studied in [18], see also [5, 8, 30, 31, 32].

Proposition 3.2.1. *If $\Phi = A \oplus C \colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U) \to \mathscr{N}_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}(\tilde{U})$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism, then*

1. *the map $\Phi^\tau = A^\tau \oplus C^\tau \colon \mathscr{N}_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}(\tilde{U}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ is also a Lie algebra isomorphism and moreover*
2. *$r = \tilde{r}$, $s = \tilde{s}$, or $r = \tilde{s}$, $s = \tilde{r}$.*

Proposition 3.2.2. *If $\Phi = A \oplus C \colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U) \to \mathscr{N}_{s,r}(\tilde{U})$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism and $r \neq s$, then*

1. *$A^\tau A J_z A^\tau A = -J_z$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, $\quad A A^\tau \tilde{J}_w A A^\tau = -\tilde{J}_w$, $w \in \mathbb{R}^{s,r}$;*
2. *$A J_{z_1} J_{z_2} = -\tilde{J}_{C(z_1)} \tilde{J}_{C(z_2)} A$, $\quad A \tilde{J}_{C(z_1)} \tilde{J}_{C(z_2)} = -J_{z_1} J_{z_2} A^\tau$ for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ with $\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle_{r,s} = 0$;*

(3) *the linear transformation* $C\colon \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \to \mathbb{R}^{s,r}$ *maps positive vectors to negative vectors and vice versa. We can assume that* $|\det A^\tau A| = 1$ *and* $C^\tau C = -\operatorname{Id}$ *by multiplying the matrix* A *by a constant.*

Proposition 3.2.3. *If* $\Phi = A \oplus C\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(\tilde{U})$ *is a Lie algebra isomorphism and* $r \neq s$*, then*

(1) $A^\tau A J_z A^\tau A = J_z, \qquad A A^\tau \tilde{J}_w A A^\tau = \tilde{J}_w \quad$ *for* $z, w \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$*;*
(2) $A J_{z_1} J_{z_2} = \tilde{J}_{C(z_1)} \tilde{J}_{C(z_2)} A, \quad A \tilde{J}_{C(z_1)} \tilde{J}_{C(z_2)} = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} A^\tau$ *for* $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ *with* $\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle_{r,s} = 0$*;*
(3) *the linear transformation* $C\colon \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \to \mathbb{R}^{s,r}$ *maps positive vectors to positive vectors, negative to negative ones, and as in Proposition 3.2.2 we may assume that* $|\det A^\tau A| = 1$ *and* $C^\tau C = \operatorname{Id}$ *by multiplying the matrix* A *by a constant.*

Proposition 3.2.4. [18] *If* $\Phi = A \oplus C\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,r}(U) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,r}(\tilde{U})$ *is a Lie algebra isomorphism, then* $C^\tau C = \operatorname{Id}$ *for* $r \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod 4$ *and* $C^\tau C = -\operatorname{Id}$ *for* $r \equiv 3 \pmod 4$*. The map* A *can be normalised such that* $|\det A^\tau A| = 1$ *and it satisfies the conditions of items* $(1) - (2)$ *of Proposition 3.2.3.*

We explain a possible construction of the map $A\colon V^{r,s}_{min} \to \tilde{V}^{r,s}_{min}$. It was shown in [18, Corollary 5, Theorem 3] that the map A can be obtained by the following procedure. The system of involutions $PI_{r,s}$ acting on the minimal admissible modules decomposes them into the direct sums $V^{r,s}_{min} = \oplus_i E_i$, $\tilde{V}^{r,s}_{min} = \oplus_i \tilde{E}_i$ of common eigenspaces. We start by constructing a map $A_1\colon E_{r,s} \to \tilde{E}_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}$, where $E_{r,s} \subset V^{r,s}_{min}$, $\tilde{E}_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}} \subset \tilde{V}^{r,s}_{min}$ are common 1-eigenspaces of the system of involutions $PI_{r,s}$ and $PI_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}$. Then the map A_1 produces the rest of the maps $A_i\colon E_i \to \tilde{E}_i$ between the eigenspaces. Thus the map A has block diagonal form $A = \oplus_i A_i$ written in the basis described in Section 3.4 and satisfying the relations of Propositions 3.2.2 and 3.2.3.

Example 2. *Recall decomposition* (2.7) *of* $V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ *for* $(\mu, \nu) \in \{(8,0), (0,8), (4,4)\}$*. Let* $A \oplus \operatorname{Id}\colon n_{r,s}(V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}) \to n_{r,s}(\tilde{V}^{\mu,\nu}_{min})$ *and*

$$\tilde{V}^{\mu,\nu}_{min} = \tilde{E}_{\mu,\nu} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{8} \tilde{J}_{\zeta_i}(\tilde{E}_{\mu,\nu}) \bigoplus_{j=2}^{8} \tilde{J}_{\zeta_1} \tilde{J}_{\zeta_j}(\tilde{E}_{\mu.\nu})$$

as in (2.7)*. The condition* (3.3) *applied to a vector* $u \in E_{\mu,\nu}$ *is equivalent to the statement that* $A^\tau_{j+1} \tilde{J}_{\zeta_j} A_1 = J_{\zeta_j}$*, where* $A_1 = A|_{E_{\mu,\nu}}$ *and* $A^\tau_{j+1} = A^\tau|_{\tilde{J}_{\zeta_j}(\tilde{E}_{\mu,\nu})}$*,* $j = 1, \dots, 8$*, are the restrictions of the maps on the indicated spaces and the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{\mu,\nu} & \xrightarrow[J_{\zeta_j}]{} & J_{\zeta_j}(E_{\mu,\nu}) \\ {\scriptstyle A_1}\big\downarrow & & \big\uparrow{\scriptstyle A^\tau_{j+1}} \\ \tilde{E}_{\mu,\nu} & \xrightarrow[\tilde{J}_{\zeta_j}]{} & \tilde{J}_{\zeta_j}(\tilde{E}_{\mu,\nu}) \end{array}$$

commutes. This shows that the maps

$$A_{j+1} = \tilde{J}_{\zeta_j}(A_1^{-1})^\tau J_{\zeta_j}^{-1}\colon J_{\zeta_j}(E_{\mu,\nu}) \to \tilde{J}_{\zeta_j}(\tilde{E}_{\mu,\nu}), \quad j = 1, \dots, 8,$$

are completely determined by the map A_1*. The conditions* $A J_{\zeta_1} J_{\zeta_j} = \tilde{J}_{\zeta_1} \tilde{J}_{\zeta_j} A$ *determine the maps*

$$A_{j+8} = A_{1,j} = \tilde{J}_{\zeta_1} \tilde{J}_{\zeta_j} A_1 (J_{\zeta_1} J_{\zeta_j})^{-1}\colon J_{\zeta_1} J_{\zeta_j}(E_{\mu,\nu}) \to \tilde{J}_{\zeta_1} \tilde{J}_{\zeta_j}(\tilde{E}_{\mu,\nu}), \quad j = 2, \dots, 8.$$

Thus the map $A\colon V^{\mu,\nu}_{min} \to \tilde{V}^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ *is defined by* $A_1\colon E_{\mu,\nu} \to \tilde{E}_{\mu,\nu}$ *and has the form* $A = \oplus_{j=1}^{j=16} A_j$ *in a suitable basis.*

Lemma 3.2.5. *Let* $U^+ = (U, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_U)$ *be an admissible module of* $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ *and* $J_z\colon U \to U$ *be the action map, then the module* $U^- = (U, -\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_U)$ *is an admissible with the same action map* $J_z\colon U^- = U \to U^- = U$*. Moreover, the Lie algebras* $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ *and* $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(\tilde{U})$ *are isomorphic under the isomorphism* $\mathrm{Id} \oplus -\mathrm{Id}$*.*

Proof. By the definition, we can see easily that $U^- = (U, -\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_U)$ is an admissible module and the map

$$\Phi = \mathrm{Id} \oplus -\mathrm{Id}\colon \begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U^+) & \to & \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U^-) \\ (x,z) & \mapsto & (x,-z) \end{array}$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism because of

$$\langle z, [x,y]\rangle_{r,s} = \langle J_z x, y\rangle_{U^+} = -\langle J_z x, y\rangle_{U^-} = \langle J_{-z}x, y\rangle_{U^-} = \langle -z, [x,y]\rangle_{r,s}.$$

□

3.3. **Automorphisms of the pseudo H-type Lie algebras.** We discuss here the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))$ of automorphisms of a Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$, see also [15, 24, 30]. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(r+s+\dim(U),\mathbb{R})$ and consists of the linear maps

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} : \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U) = U \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \to U \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r,s} = \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$$

satisfying the condition (3.3), see also Propositions 3.2.3 and 3.2.4. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))$ is isomorphic to the following product

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(u)) \cong \mathbb{R}_+ \times \big(B_{r,s} \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))\big). \tag{3.4}$$

Here $\mathbb{R}_+$ is the group of non-homogeneous dilations $\delta_t\colon U \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \to U \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ acting as $\delta_t(x,z) = (tx, t^2 z)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The group $B_{r,s} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id} & 0 \\ B & \mathrm{Id} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{(r+s)\cdot\dim(U)}$. The subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))$, consisting of the automorphisms of the form $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$ with $C^\tau C = \pm\mathrm{Id}$, is called the group of *restricted automorphisms*. The semi-direct product in (3.4) comes from the action of the subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))$ on $B_{r,s}$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id} & 0 \\ B & \mathrm{Id} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & C^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id} & 0 \\ CBA^{-1} & \mathrm{Id} \end{pmatrix} \in B_{r,s}.$$

The group of automorphisms of the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,0}(U)$ was studied in [5, 23, 30, 31].

Now we present an example of elements of $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))$, that will be important for the classification of the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$. The map

$$\mathbb{R}^{r,s} \ni z \mapsto -z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \subset \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$$

is extended to the Clifford algebra automorphism $\alpha\colon \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \to \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ by the universal property of the Clifford algebras. We denote by $\mathrm{Cl}^\times_{r,s}$ the group of invertible elements in $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ and in particular $\mathbb{R}^{r,s\times} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s} |\ \langle v, v\rangle_{r,s} \neq 0\} = \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \cap \mathrm{Cl}^\times_{r,s}$. The representation $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}\colon \mathbb{R}^{r,s\times} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{R}^{r,s})$, is defined as

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_v(z) = -vzv^{-1} = \left(z - 2\frac{\langle z, v\rangle_{r,s}}{\langle v, v\rangle_{r,s}} v \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s\times}.$$

Then it extends to the, so called, twisted adjoint representation $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}\colon \mathrm{Cl}^{\times}_{r,s} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s})$ by setting

$$\mathrm{Cl}^{\times}_{r,s} \ni \varphi \longmapsto \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\varphi}, \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\varphi}(\phi) = \alpha(\varphi)\phi\varphi^{-1}, \quad \phi \in \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}\,. \tag{3.5}$$

The map $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_v$ for $v \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s\times}$, leaving the space $\mathbb{R}^{r,s} \subset \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ invariant, is also an isometry: $\langle\, \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_v(z), \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_v(z)\,\rangle_{r,s} = \langle\, z, z\,\rangle_{r,s}$. Note that $(\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\varphi^{-1}})^{\tau} = \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\varphi}$. Subgroups of $\mathrm{Cl}^{\times}_{r,s}$ defined by

$$\mathrm{Pin}(r,s) = \{v_1 \cdots v_k \in \mathrm{Cl}^{\times}_{r,s} \mid \ \langle\, v_i, v_i\,\rangle_{r,s} = \pm 1\},$$
$$\mathrm{Spin}(r,s) = \{v_1 \cdots v_k \in \mathrm{Cl}^{\times}_{r,s} \mid \ k \text{ is even}, \langle\, v_i, v_i\,\rangle_{r,s} = \pm 1\},$$

are called pin and spin groups, respectively. The reader can find more information about the twisted adjoint representation and the groups Pin and Spin, see [27].

Proposition 3.3.1. [3, 27] *The maps*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}\colon \mathrm{Pin}(r,s) \to \mathrm{O}(r,s) \quad \textit{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}\colon \mathrm{Spin}(r,s) \to \mathrm{SO}(r,s)$$

are the double covering maps.

We make the identification $\mathrm{Spin}(r) \times \mathrm{Pin}(s) \cong \mathrm{Spin}(r,0) \times \mathrm{Pin}(0,s) \subset \mathrm{Pin}(r,s)$ and present a special map from $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))$.

Proposition 3.3.2. *Let $J\colon \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \to \mathrm{End}(U)$ be a Clifford algebra representation and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Spin}(r) \times \mathrm{Pin}(s)$. Then $J_{\varphi^{-1}} \oplus (\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\varphi})^{\tau} \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))$. The group homomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathcal{A}\colon & \mathrm{Spin}(r) \times \mathrm{Pin}(s) & \to & \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)), \\ & \varphi & \mapsto & J_{\varphi^{-1}} \oplus (\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\varphi})^{\tau} \end{array}$$

is injective and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_{r,s}(U) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)) & \xrightarrow{A\oplus C \mapsto C} & \mathrm{O}(r,s) \\ & & \uparrow & & \mathcal{A}\uparrow & & \uparrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spin}(r) \times \mathrm{Pin}(s) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}} & O(r,s) \end{array} \tag{3.6}$$

is commutative. The kernel $K_{r,s}(U)$ consists of automorphisms of the form $A \oplus \mathrm{Id}$.

Proof. By the definition of the twisted adjoint representation, $\alpha(\varphi)z\varphi^{-1} = \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\varphi}(z)$ we have

$$J_{\alpha(\varphi)} J_z J_{\varphi^{-1}} = J_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\varphi}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s\times}.$$

If we show that $J_{\alpha(\varphi)} = J^{\tau}_{\varphi^{-1}}$, or equivalently $J_{\alpha(\varphi^{-1})} = J^{\tau}_{\varphi}$ for $\varphi \in \mathrm{Pin}(r,s)$, then it will imply that $J_{\varphi^{-1}} \oplus \big(\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\varphi}\big)^{\tau} \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s})$ due to the relation $A^{\tau} J_z A = J_{C^{\tau}(z)}$.

If $v \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s\times}$ is such that $\langle\, v, v\,\rangle_{r,s} = -1$, then $J^{\tau}_{v^{-1}} = J^{\tau}_{v} = -J_v = J_{\alpha(v)}$, and hence $J_{v^{-1}} \oplus (\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_v)^{\tau} \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))$. If v is such that $\langle\, v, v\,\rangle_{r,s} = 1$, then $J^{\tau}_{v^{-1}} = J^{\tau}_{-v} = J_v \neq J_{\alpha(v)}$, and therefore the map $J_{v^{-1}} \oplus (\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_v)^{\tau}$ does not belong to $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))$. If $\varphi = v_1 v_2$ with $\langle\, v_i, v_i\,\rangle_{r,s} = \pm 1$, $i = 1, 2$, then $J_{(v_1 v_2)^{-1}} = J_{v_2 v_1} = J^{\tau}_{\alpha(v_1 v_2)}$. It implies $J_{(v_1 v_2)^{-1}} \oplus (\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{v_1 v_2})^{\tau} \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U))$. In general, if $\varphi = x_1 \cdots x_{2p} \cdot y_1 \cdots y_q \in \mathrm{Pin}(r,s)$ with $\langle\, x_i, x_i\,\rangle_{r,s} = 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, 2p$, and $\langle\, y_j, y_j\,\rangle_{r,s} = -1$, $j = 1, \ldots, q$, then we obtain

$$(J_{(x_1 \cdots x_{2p} \cdot y_1 \cdots y_q)^{-1}})^{\tau} = (J_{y_q, \cdots y_1 \cdot x_{2p} \cdots x_1})^{\tau} = (-1)^{2p+q} J_{x_1 \cdots x_{2p} \cdot y_1 \cdots y_q} = J_{\alpha(x_1 \cdots x_{2p} \cdot y_1 \cdots y_q)}.$$

□

Corollary 3.3.3. *There is an automorphism $A \oplus -\operatorname{Id} \in \operatorname{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{2r,s}(U))$ for any r, s.*

Proof. Observe that the image of the map $\operatorname{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{2r,s}) \to \mathrm{O}(2r,s)$ includes the group $\mathrm{SO}(2r)\times\mathrm{O}(s)$. This follows from diagram (3.6) and Proposition 3.3.1. Since $-\operatorname{Id} \in \mathrm{SO}(2r)\times\mathrm{O}(s)$ belongs to the image of $\operatorname{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{2r,s}(U)) \to \mathrm{O}(2r,s)$ we conclude that there is $A\colon U \to U$ such that $A \oplus -\operatorname{Id} \in \operatorname{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{2r,s}(U))$. □

Consider the diagram (3.6) in special cases of $\mathscr{N}_{0,8} = \mathscr{N}_{0,8}(V^{0,8}_{min})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{8,0} = \mathscr{N}_{8,0}(V^{8,0}_{min})$. The following two diagrams are exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\{0\} & \longrightarrow & K_{0,8}\cong\mathbb{R}^\times & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{0,8}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{O}(0,8)\cong\mathrm{O}(8) & \longrightarrow & \{\mathrm{Id}\} \\
 & & \uparrow & & \mathcal{A}\uparrow & & \uparrow= & & \\
\{0\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Pin}(0,8) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{O}(0,8)\cong\mathrm{O}(8) & \longrightarrow & \{\mathrm{Id}\}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\{0\} & \longrightarrow & K_{8,0}\cong\mathbb{R}^\times & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{8,0}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{O}(8) & \longrightarrow & \{\mathrm{Id}\} \\
 & & \uparrow & & \mathcal{A}\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
\{0\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spin}(8) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SO}(8) & \longrightarrow & \{\mathrm{Id}\}.
\end{array}$$

Since the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{8,0}$ and $\mathscr{N}_{0,8}$ are isomorphic, see [18], there exists an automorphism $A \oplus I_1 \in \operatorname{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{8,0})$ which is not in the image $\mathcal{A}(\operatorname{Spin}(8))$, where I_1 is defined as $I_1(\zeta_1) = -\zeta_1$, $I_1(\zeta_j) = \zeta_j$ $j = 2, \dots, 8$.

At the end of the section we formulate the relation between the existence of an automorphism and an isomorphism of a special type.

Lemma 3.3.4. *A Lie algebra isomorphism $A \oplus \operatorname{Id}\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min})$ exists if and only if there is a Lie algebra automorphism $A \oplus -\operatorname{Id}\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min})$.*

Proof. Let us assume that $A\oplus\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min})$, where $A\colon V^{r,s;+}_{min} \to V^{r,s;-}_{min}$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism. We assume that the module actions on $V^{r,s;\pm}_{min}$ coincide, but the admissible scalar products differ by the sign, that is $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{V^{r,s;+}_{min}} = -\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{V^{r,s;-}_{min}}$. We denote the Lie brackets on the corresponding pseudo H-type Lie algebras by $[x,y]^\pm$ for $x, y \in V^{r,s;\pm}_{min}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle z,[x,y]^+\rangle_{r,s} &= \langle z,[Ax,Ay]^-\rangle_{r,s} = \langle J_zAx, Ay\rangle_{V^{r,s;-}_{min}} = -\langle J_zAx, Ay\rangle_{V^{r,s;+}_{min}} \\
&= -\langle z,[Ax,Ay]^+\rangle_{r,s}.
\end{aligned}$$

It shows that $A \oplus -\operatorname{Id}$ is an automorphism of $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min})$. Now assuming that $A \oplus -\operatorname{Id} \in \operatorname{Aut}^0\left(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min})\right)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle z,[x,y]^+\rangle_{r,s} &= \langle -z,[Ax,Ay]^+\rangle_{r,s} = -\langle J_zAx, Ay\rangle_{V^{r,s;+}_{min}} = \langle J_zAx, Ay\rangle_{V^{r,s;-}_{min}} \\
&= \langle z,[Ax,Ay]^-\rangle_{r,s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $A \oplus \operatorname{Id}\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min})$ is an isomorphism. □

3.4. **Existence of lattices on pseudo H-type Lie groups.** To achieve the full description of isomorphic Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$, where the admissible module U is not necessarily minimal, we need a special type of bases for the Clifford modules. These type of bases also show the existence of lattices on the corresponding Lie groups, see [17]. It is enough to construct the bases only for minimal admissible modules, and then apply Proposition 2.4.1.

Let $V^{r,s}_{min}$ be a minimal admissible module of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ and $E_{r,s}$ be the common 1-eigenspace for the system $PI_{r,s}$ of involutions. We fix a vector $v \in E_{r,s}$ such that $|\langle v, v\rangle_{V^{r,s}_{min}}| = 1$. Then a basis $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of the module $V^{r,s}_{min}$ can be chosen by setting

$$x_1 = v, \quad x_2 = J_{z_1}v, \dots, \quad x_{r+s} = J_{z_{r+s-1}}v, \dots, \quad x_N = J_{z_{i_1}}J_{z_{i_2}}\dots J_{z_{i_k}}v,$$

that is a subset of all the 2^{r+s} vectors obtained from v by action of $J_{z_{i_1}}\dots J_{z_{i_k}}$, $1 \le i_i < i_2 < \dots < i_k \le r+s$. The vector $v \in E_{r,s}$ can be picked up in such a way that the basis in $E_{r,s}$ will be orthonormal due to the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4.1. [17, Lemma 2.9, Corollary 2.10] *Let $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_V)$ be an admissible module, $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_l$ symmetric linear operators on V such that*

(1) $\Lambda_k^2 = -\mathrm{Id}_V$, $k = 1, \dots, l$;
(2) $\Lambda_k\Lambda_j = -\Lambda_j\Lambda_k$ *for all* $k, j = 1, \dots, l$.

Then for any $w \in V$ with $\langle w, w\rangle_V = 1$ there is a vector $\tilde{w}$ satisfying $\langle \tilde{w}, \Lambda_k\tilde{w}\rangle_V = 0$ and $\langle \tilde{w}, \tilde{w}\rangle_V = 1$, for $k = 1, \dots, l$.

Since the involutions are symmetric all the eigenspaces are mutually orthogonal, that implies the orthonormality of the constructed basis. The construction of the basis also shows that $\langle J_{z_i}x_j, x_k\rangle_{V^{r,s}_{min}} = \langle z_i, [x_j, x_k]\rangle_{r,s} = \pm 1$ or 0. It follows that the structure constants of the Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ are ± 1 or 0. The concrete construction of bases for $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ can be found in [17], see also [12]. Applying the Malćev criterion [28], we obtain the proposition.

Proposition 3.4.2. [28] *Let U be an admissible module of a Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$. Then there exists a lattice on the pseudo H-type Lie group $G_{r,s}(U)$.*

3.5. **Classification of pseudo H-type Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s}_{min})$.** The classification of the pseudo H-type algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s}_{min})$, constructed from the minimal admissible modules was done in [18]. We summarise the results of the classification in Table 2. Here "d" stands for "double", meaning that $\dim V^{r,s}_{min} = 2\dim V^{s,r}_{min}$ and

TABLE 2. Classification result after the first step

8	$\cong$								
7	d	d	d	$\ncong$					
6	d	$\cong$	$\cong$	h					
5	d	$\cong$	$\cong$	h					
4	$\cong$	h	h	h	$\circlearrowleft$				
3	d	$\ncong$	$\ncong$	$\not\circlearrowleft$	d	d	d	$\ncong$	
2	$\cong$	h	$\circlearrowleft$	$\ncong$	d	$\cong$	$\cong$	h	
1	$\cong$	$\circlearrowleft$	d	$\ncong$	d	$\cong$	$\cong$	h	
0		$\cong$	$\cong$	h	$\cong$	h	h	h	$\cong$
s/r	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

"h" (half) means that $\dim V^{r,s}_{min} = \frac{1}{2}\dim V^{s,r}_{min}$. The corresponding pairs are trivially non-isomorphic due to the different dimension of minimal admissible modules. The symbol $\cong$ denotes the Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s}_{min})$ having isomorphic counterpart $\mathscr{N}_{s,r}(V^{s,r}_{min})$, the symbol $\ncong$ shows that the Lie algebra $n_{r,s}(V^{r,s}_{min})$ is not isomorphic to $\mathscr{N}_{s,r}(V^{s,r}_{min})$. The notation $\circlearrowleft$ indicates that the Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,r}(V^{r,r}_{min})$ admits automorphisms $A \oplus \mathrm{Id}$, and $\not\circlearrowleft$ denotes the Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,r}(V^{r,r}_{min})$ that does not have this type of automorphism.

4. Classification of pseudo H-type algebras

In this section we state and prove the classification of the pseudo H-type algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ with an arbitrary admissible modules U, and fixed signature (r,s). Eventually, the classification depends on the decomposition of U on the minimal admissible modules. It is enough to classify basic cases (2.8) due to Theorem 4.2.5.

4.1. **Statements of main results on isomorphisms of Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$.** In the rest of the paper we use the upper index $\pm$ to indicate the scalar products that differ by sign: $V_{min}^{r,s;+}=(V_{min}^{r,s},\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle_{V_{min}^{r,s}})$ and $V_{min}^{r,s;-}=(V_{min}^{r,s},-\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle_{V_{min}^{r,s}})$. We also use the lower index $\pm$ to distinguish the minimal admissible modules, corresponding to non equivalent irreducible modules, $V_{min;\pm}^{r,s;+}=(V_{min;\pm}^{r,s},\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle_{V_{min;\pm}^{r,s}})$ and $V_{min;\pm}^{r,s;-}=(V_{min;\pm}^{r,s},-\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle_{V_{min;\pm}^{r,s}})$ that were mentioned in Corollary 2.2.4.

Recall that Clifford modules are completely reducible, see Proposition 2.1.1 and any admissible module can be decomposed into the orthogonal sum of minimal admissible modules, see Proposition 2.2.2, item (2). To make the complete classification we decompose an admissible module U of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ into the direct sum of, possibly different, minimal admissible modules. We distinguish the following possibilities.

If $r-s\not\equiv 3\,(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$ and s is arbitrary or $r-s\equiv 3\,(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$ and s is odd then

$$U=\big(\overset{p^+}{\oplus}V_{min}^{r,s;+}\big)\bigoplus\big(\overset{p^-}{\oplus}V_{min}^{r,s;-}\big). \tag{4.1}$$

If $r-s\equiv 3\,(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$ and s is even, then

$$U=\big(\overset{p_+^+}{\oplus}V_{min;+}^{r,s;+}\big)\bigoplus\big(\overset{p_+^-}{\oplus}V_{min;+}^{r,s;-}\big)\bigoplus\big(\overset{p_-^+}{\oplus}V_{min;-}^{r,s;+}\big)\bigoplus\big(\overset{p_-^-}{\oplus}V_{min;-}^{r,s;-}\big). \tag{4.2}$$

The system of involutions $PI_{r,s}$ does not depend on the scalar product on the admissible modules $V_{min}^{r,s;\pm}$ and therefore the common 1-eigenspaces $E_{r,s}$ coincide on $V_{min}^{r,s;+}$ and $V_{min}^{r,s;-}$. Nevertheless, the restrictions of the admissible scalar products from $V_{min}^{r,s;+}$ and $V_{min}^{r,s;-}$ on the respective $E_{r,s}$ will have opposite signs. The result of the classification essentially depends on the signature of the restriction of the admissible scalar product on $E_{r,s}$ and the parity of the index s. We formulate the main results of the classification.

Theorem 4.1.1. *Let $U=(U,\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle_U)$ and $\tilde U=(\tilde U,\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle_{\tilde U})$ be admissible modules of a Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$. If $r\equiv 0,1,2$ mod 4, then the pseudo H-type Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ is determined by the dimension of the admissible module U and does not depend on the choice of an admissible scalar product. Thus $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)\cong\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(\tilde U)$, if and only if $\dim(U)=\dim(\tilde U)$.*

If $r\equiv 3\,(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$, then the pseudo H-type Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ is determined by the dimension of U and by the value of the index s.

Theorem 4.1.2. *Let $U=(U,\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle_U)$ and $\tilde U=(\tilde U,\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle_{\tilde U})$ be admissible modules of a Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$. Let $r\equiv 3\,(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$ and $s\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$ and let the admissible modules be decomposed into the direct sums:*

$$U=(\overset{p_+^+}{\oplus}V_{min;+}^{r,s;+})\bigoplus(\overset{p_+^-}{\oplus}V_{min;+}^{r,s;-})\bigoplus(\overset{p_-^+}{\oplus}V_{min;-}^{r,s;+})\bigoplus(\overset{p_-^-}{\oplus}V_{min;-}^{r,s;-}),$$

$$\tilde U=(\overset{\tilde p_+^+}{\oplus}V_{min;+}^{r,s;+})\bigoplus(\overset{\tilde p_+^-}{\oplus}V_{min;+}^{r,s;-})\bigoplus(\overset{\tilde p_-^+}{\oplus}V_{min;-}^{r,s;+})\bigoplus(\overset{\tilde p_-^-}{\oplus}V_{min;-}^{r,s;-}).$$

Then the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ and $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(\tilde{U})$ are isomorphic, if and only if,

$$p = p^+_+ + p^-_- = \tilde{p}^+_+ + \tilde{p}^-_- = \tilde{p} \quad \textit{and} \quad q = p^-_+ + p^+_- = \tilde{p}^-_+ + \tilde{p}^+_- = \tilde{q}$$

or

$$p = p^+_+ + p^-_- = \tilde{p}^-_+ + \tilde{p}^+_- = \tilde{q} \quad \textit{and} \quad q = p^-_+ + p^+_- = \tilde{p}^+_+ + \tilde{p}^-_- = \tilde{p}.$$

Theorem 4.1.3. *Let $r \equiv 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$ and $s \equiv 1, 2, 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$ and let U and $\tilde{U}$ be decomposed into the direct sums*

$$U = (\overset{p^+}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min}) \bigoplus (\overset{p^-}{\oplus} V^{r,s;-}_{min}), \qquad \tilde{U} = (\overset{\tilde{p}^+}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min}) \bigoplus (\overset{\tilde{p}^-}{\oplus} V^{r,s;-}_{min}).$$

Then $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U) \cong \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(\tilde{U})$, if and only if $p = p^+ = \tilde{p}^+ = \tilde{p}$ and $q = p^- = \tilde{p}^- = \tilde{q}$, or $p = p^+ = \tilde{p}^- = \tilde{q}$ and $q = p^- = \tilde{p}^+ = \tilde{p}$.

4.2. **Periodicity of isomorphisms.** We can reduce the proof of the main theorems to basic cases (2.8), due to the following facts. Let $V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ be a minimal admissible module of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mu,\nu}$, where $(\mu, \nu) \in \{(8,0), (0,8), (4,4)\}$. It was explained in Example 1 that $V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ admits decomposition (2.7). The admissible scalar product restricted to $E_{\mu,\nu}$ is necessarily sign definite and we can fix it to be positive definite scalar product on $E_{\mu,\nu}$ by Lemma 3.2.5. We summarise the results of Section 2.4 and Lemma 2.6.1.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let $(V^{r,s}_{min}, \langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle_{V^{r,s}_{min}})$ be a minimal admissible module of $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ and J_{z_i}, $i = 1, \dots, r+s$ the Clifford actions of the orthonormal basis $\{z_i\}$. Then*

$$V^{r,s}_{min} \otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min} = (V^{r,s}_{min} \otimes E_{\mu,\nu}) \bigoplus_{i=1}^{8} \big(V^{r,s}_{min} \otimes J_{\zeta_i}(E_{\mu,\nu})\big) \bigoplus_{j=2}^{8} \big(V^{r,s}_{min} \otimes J_{\zeta_1} J_{\zeta_j}(E_{\mu,\nu})\big) \tag{4.3}$$

is a minimal admissible module $V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min}$ of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$.

Conversely, if $V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min}$ is a minimal admissible module of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$, then the common 1-eigenspace E_0 of the involutions T_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ from Example 1 can be considered as a minimal admissible module $V^{r,s}_{min}$ of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$. The action of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ on E_0 is the restricted action of $\mathrm{Cl}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$ obtained by the natural inclusion $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \subset \mathrm{Cl}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}$.

Proposition 4.2.2. *According to the correspondence of minimal admissible modules stated in Proposition 4.2.1, there is a natural injective map*

$$\mathcal{B}\colon\ \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s}_{min})) \to \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}(V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min})).$$

Conversely, automorphisms of the form $A \oplus C \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}(V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min}))$ with the property that $C(\zeta_j) = \zeta_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 8$, defines an automorphism $A_{|E_0} \oplus C_{|\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}$ of the algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(E_0)$, where the space E_0 is the common 1-eigenspace of the involutions T_j, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, viewed as a minimal admissible module of $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$.

Proof. Let $A^{r,s} \oplus C \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s}_{min}))$ with $(A^{r,s})^\tau J_{z_i} A^{r,s} = J_{C^\tau(z_i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, r+s$, and let J_{ζ_j}, $j = 1, \dots, 8$, be the actions on $V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mu,\nu}$.

We want to construct $\bar{A}\colon V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min} = V^{r,s}_{min} \otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min} \to V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min} = V^{r,s}_{min} \otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ such that $z_i \mapsto C(z_i)$ and $\zeta_j \mapsto \zeta_j$ by using the map $A^{r,s}\colon V^{r,s}_{min} \to V^{r,s}_{min}$.

The action $\bar{J}$ on $V^{r,s}_{min} \otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ defined in Proposition 2.4.1 corresponds to

$$\begin{cases} \bar{J}_{z_i}(x \otimes y) = -J_{z_i}(x) \otimes y, & x \in V^{r,s}_{min},\ \ y \in J_{\zeta_j}(E_0),\ j = 1, \dots, 8, \\ \bar{J}_{z_i}(x \otimes y) = J_{z_i}(x) \otimes y, & x \in V^{r,s}_{min},\ \ y \in J_{\zeta_1} J_{\zeta_j}(E_0),\ j = 2, \dots, 8, \\ \bar{J}_{\zeta_i}(x \otimes y) = x \otimes J_{\zeta_j}(y), & x \in V^{r,s}_{min},\ \ y \in E_0, \end{cases}$$

according to the decomposition (4.3). We define $\bar{A}\colon V^{r,s}_{min}\otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}\to V^{r,s}_{min}\otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ on each component of the decomposition (4.3) such that it satisfies (3.3) with $\bar{C}$ being $\bar{C}(z_i)=C(z_i)$ and $\bar{C}(\zeta_j)=\zeta_j$. It can be done in a unique way as in Example 2 and the operator $\bar{A}\oplus\bar{C}$ will satisfy Proposition 3.2.3.

Conversely, let $\bar{A}\oplus\bar{C}\in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}(V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min}))$ be such that $\bar{C}(\zeta_j)=\zeta_j$, $j=1,\dots,8$. Then $V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min}$ is decomposed into the orthogonal sum (2.9) and the commutativity of the operators J_{z_i} with the involutions T_j allows us to define an automorphism $A_{|E_0}\oplus C_{|\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}$ of the pseudo H-type algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(E_0)$. □

Note that the construction given in Proposition 2.4.1 can be performed for an arbitrary, not necessary minimal admissible module $U^{r,s}$. Thus we obtain that $U^{r+\mu,s+\nu}=U^{r,s}\otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ is admissible for $(\mu,\nu)\in\{(8,0),(0,8),(4,4)\}$ if $U^{r,s}$ is admissible. Denote by $K_{r,s}(U^{r,s})$ the kernel of the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U^{r,s})) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{O}(r,s)\\ A\oplus C & \mapsto & C.\end{array}$$

Corollary 4.2.3. *Let $U^{r,s}$ and $U^{r+\mu,s+\nu}=U^{r,s}\otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}$ be admissible modules. Then*

$$K_{r+\mu,s+\nu}(U^{r+\mu,s+\nu})=\mathcal{B}(K_{r,s}(U^{r,s})),$$

that is the kernel $K_{r,s}(U^{r,s})$ is invariant under the map $\mathcal{B}$ defined in Proposition 4.2.2.

Lemma 4.2.4. *If the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s}_{min})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(\tilde{V}^{r,s}_{min})$ are isomorphic, then the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}(V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}(\tilde{V}^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min})$ are also isomorphic for $(\mu,\nu)\in\{(8,0),(0,8),(4,4)\}$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi=A\oplus C\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s}_{min})\to\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(\tilde{V}^{r,s}_{min})$ be a Lie algebra isomorphism, then Φ that can be extended to $\bar{\Phi}=\bar{A}\oplus\bar{C}\colon \mathscr{N}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}(V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min})\to\mathscr{N}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}(\tilde{V}^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min})$ by the same procedure as in Lemma 4.2.2. Namely, we set $\bar{A}=A\otimes\mathrm{Id}$ and $\bar{C}=C\oplus\mathrm{Id}$. □

Let now $U^{r,s}$ and $\tilde{U}^{r,s}$ be two admissible modules of equal dimensions for the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$. Then $U^{r,s}=\oplus_k(V^{r,s}_{min})_k$ and $\tilde{U}^{r,s}=\oplus_k(\tilde{V}^{r,s}_{min})_k$. Then admissible modules $U^{r+\mu,s+\nu}$ and $\tilde{U}^{r+\mu,s+\nu}$ can be identified with

$$U^{r+\mu,s+\nu}\sim U^{r,s}\otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}=\oplus_k\big((V^{r,s}_{min})_k\otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{\mathrm{min}}\big)\sim\oplus_k(V^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min})_k,$$

and

$$\tilde{U}^{r+\mu,s+\nu}\sim \tilde{U}^{r,s}\otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{min}=\oplus_k\big((\tilde{V}^{r,s}_{min})_k\otimes V^{\mu,\nu}_{\mathrm{min}}\big)\sim\oplus_k(\tilde{V}^{r+\mu,s+\nu}_{min})_k.$$

Now applying Lemma 4.2.4 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.2.5. *If the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U^{r,s})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(\tilde{U}^{r,s})$ are isomorphic, then the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}(U^{r+\mu,s+\nu})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}(\tilde{U}^{r+\mu,s+\nu})$ are also isomorphic for $(\mu,\nu)\in\{(8,0),(0,8),(4,4)\}$.*

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.1.** In order to prove the classification theorems for the pseudo H-type Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$, one should be careful about the scalar product on each minimal admissible component of the decompositions (4.1) and (4.2) of the admissible module U. Let us assume that $U=\oplus_i V_i$, where V_i are minimal admissible modules. If we find linear maps $A_{ij}\colon V_i\to V_j$ for all i and j such that $A_{ij}\oplus C\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V_i)\to\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V_j)$ are the Lie algebra isomorphisms with $CC^\tau=\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}$, then the Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ is unique. Even though a different choice of a scalar product on the vector space U gives different minimal admissible modules V_i in the decomposition $U=\oplus_i V_i$, the resulting Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ can be isomorphic if there is a map $C\colon\mathbb{R}^{r,s}\to\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ that is the same for all $A_{ij}\colon V_i\to V_j$. For the simplicity

we choose C to be identity on $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. The construction of maps $A_{ij}\colon V_i \to V_j$ depends on the signature of the restriction of the admissible scalar product on the common 1-eigenspace of each minimal admissible module V_i from the direct sum $U = \oplus_i V_i$. The proof of Theorem 4.1.1 is given in three lemmas according to whether the common 1-eigenspace is sign definite or neutral space and depends also on the type of the decomposition $U = \oplus_i V_i$ in (4.1) and (4.2). The first lemma concerns with the cases when there are only two types of minimal admissible modules $V^{r,s;+}_{min}$ and $V^{r,s;-}_{min}$ and the restrictions of the scalar product onto the common 1-eigenspace is sign definite and have different sign.

Lemma 4.3.1. *The Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min})$ are isomorphic for $r \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod 4$ $s \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ under the map $A \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}$.*

Proof. We consider the case $r \equiv 0, 2 \pmod 4$. In this case the existence of an isomorphism $A \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min})$ is equivalent to the existence of the automorphism $A \oplus -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min})$ by Lemma 3.3.4. The necessary automorphism exists by Corollary 3.3.3.

Let $r \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. We need only to consider the cases $(1,0)$ $(5,0)$ and $(1,4)$ due to periodicity. The case $\mathscr{N}_{1,0}(V^{1,0;\pm}_{min})$ is trivial by the uniqueness of three dimensional Heisenberg algebra.

Let $(r,s) = (5,0)$. We can assume that the minimal admissible module $V^{6,0}_{min}$ carries positive definite scalar product due to the first part of Lemma 4.3.1. Moreover, since $\dim(V^{6,0}_{min}) = \dim(V^{5,0;+}_{min}) = 8$ the minimal admissible module $V^{5,0;+}_{min}$ can be thought as the restriction of the minimal admissible module $V^{6,0}_{min} \cong \mathbb{R}^{8,0}$ by restricting the action of $J_z\colon \mathbb{R}^{6,0} \to \mathrm{End}(V^{6,0}_{min})$ through the inclusion map $\mathbb{R}^{5,0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{6,0}$ as well as the restriction of the scalar product $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{V^{6,0}_{min}}$ onto $V^{5,0;+}_{min}$. Let $\pi\colon \mathbb{R}^{6,0} \to \mathbb{R}^{5,0}$ be the orthogonal projection. Then

$$\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{8,0}} \oplus \pi\colon \mathscr{N}_{6,0}(V^{6,0}_{min}) \to \mathscr{N}_{5,0}(V^{6,0}_{min}) \tag{4.4}$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism. Let $A \oplus -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{6,0}} \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{6,0}(V^{6,0}_{min}))$, which existence is guaranteed by Corollary 3.3.3. The property

$$A^\tau J_z A = J_{-z} \quad \text{for any} \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{6,0}, \text{ with } \langle\, Ax, y\,\rangle_{V^{6,0}_{min}} = \langle\, x, A^\tau y\,\rangle_{V^{6,0}_{min}}$$

and the homomorphism (4.4) allow to descend the automorphism $A \oplus -\mathrm{Id} \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathscr{N}_{6,0}(V^{6,0}_{min}))$ to the automorphism of $\mathscr{N}_{5,0}(V^{5,0;+}_{min})$ with the same map $A\colon V^{5,0;+}_{min} \to V^{5,0;+}_{min}$. Now applying Lemma 3.3.4, we conclude that there is an isomorphism $A \oplus \mathrm{Id}\colon V^{5,0;+}_{min} \to V^{5,0;-}_{min}$ that finishes the proof.

The existence of an isomorphism $A \oplus \mathrm{Id}\colon V^{1,4;+}_{min} \to V^{1,4;-}_{min}$ can be deduced from the existence of an automorphism $A \oplus -\mathrm{Id}\colon V^{2,4;+}_{min} \to V^{2,4;+}_{min}$ as in the previous case. □

The following two lemmas give the rest of the proof of Theorem 4.1.1 and they are concerned with indices $r \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod 4$, $s \equiv 1, 2, 3 \pmod 4$ for which the restriction of the admissible scalar product to the common 1-eigenspace is neutral. Lemma 4.3.2 deals with the indices $(r,s) \notin \{(1,2),(1,6),(5,2)\}$, because in these cases any admissible module U has decomposition (4.1). If (r,s) belongs to $\{(1,2),(1,6),(5,2)\}$ then an admissible module U has decomposition (4.2) and the results of Lemma 4.3.2 are extended in Lemma 4.3.3.

Lemma 4.3.2. *Let $(V^{r,s;+}_{min} = (V, \langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;+}_{min}})$ and $V^{r,s;-}_{min} = (V, \langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;-}_{min}})$ be two minimal admissible modules of $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ with $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;+}_{min}} = -\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;-}_{min}}$. If the restrictions of both scalar products on the common 1-eigenspace $E_{r,s}$ of involutions from*

$PI_{r,s}$ are neutral, then the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V_{min}^{r,s;+})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V_{min}^{r,s;-})$ are isomorphic under the isomorphism $A \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}$.

Proof. Notice that the system of involutions $PI_{r,s}$ does not depend on the scalar product and therefore the common 1-eigenspace $E_{r,s}$ is the same for both modules. The restrictions of the scalar products on $E_{r,s}$ are neutral by hypothesis. We find $v, u \in E_{r,s}$ such that $\langle v, v \rangle_{V_{min}^{r,s;+}} = \langle u, u \rangle_{V_{min}^{r,s;-}} = 1$. We find the orthonormal bases

$$x_0 = v_1,\ x_1 = J_{z_1} v,\ \dots, x_{N-1} = \prod_i J_{z_i} v, \quad N = \dim(V),$$

$$y_0 = u,\ y_1 = J_{z_1} u,\ \dots, y_{N-1} = \prod_i J_{z_i} u, \quad N = \dim(\tilde{V}).$$

Then the map $A \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}$ is the isomorphism of the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V_{min}^{r,s;+})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V_{min}^{r,s;-})$, where we set $A\colon x_i \mapsto y_i$ and then extended it by linearity. Indeed, let $z_k \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ be arbitrary and $J_{z_k}\colon V \to V$ be the Clifford action. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_k, [x_i, x_j] \rangle_{r,s} \quad &= \quad \langle J_{z_k} \prod_i J_{z_{k_i}} v, \prod_j J_{z_{k_j}} v \rangle_{V_{min}^{r,s;+}} = \langle J_{z_k} \prod_i J_{z_{k_i}} u, \prod_j J_{z_{k_j}} u \rangle_{V_{min}^{r,s;-}} \\ &\qquad \langle z_k, [y_i, y_j] \rangle_{r,s} \end{aligned}$$

since the calculations depend only on number of permutations in the products. □

In the cases $(r,s) \in \{(1,2),(1,6),(5,2)\}$ there are two irreducible modules $V_{irr;\pm}^{r,s}$, but they are not admissible. The minimal admissible modules are $V_{min;+}^{r,s} = V_{irr;+}^{r,s} \oplus V_{irr;+}^{r,s}$ and $V_{min;-}^{r,s} = V_{irr;-}^{r,s} \oplus V_{irr;-}^{r,s}$, see Corollary 2.2.4, item (3-2-1). Thus any admissible module U is decomposed on the direct sum of the type (4.2).

Lemma 4.3.3. *The Lie algebras*

$$\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V_{min;+}^{r,s;-}) \overset{\Phi_1}{\cong} \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V_{min;+}^{r,s;+}) \overset{\Phi_2}{\cong} \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V_{min;-}^{r,s;-}) \overset{\Phi_3}{\cong} \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V_{min;-}^{r,s;+})$$

for $(r,s) \in \{(1,2),(1,6),(5,2)\}$ are isomorphic under the maps $\Phi_k = A_k \oplus C$, $k = 1,2,3$ with $C = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}$.

Proof. The existence of the maps Φ_1 and Φ_3 follows from Lemma 4.3.2. We need only to construct Φ_2.

Case $\mathscr{N}_{1,2}$ Let $v \in V_{min;+}^{1,2;+}$ be such that $\langle v, v \rangle_{V_{min;+}^{1,2;+}} = 1$ and $u \in V_{min;-}^{1,2;-}$ with $\langle u, u \rangle_{V_{min;-}^{1,2;-}} = -1$. It is possible, since both scalar products are neutral on the module. Then the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1 = v, \quad x_2 = J_{z_1} v, \quad x_3 = J_{z_2} v, \quad x_4 = J_{z_3} v, \\ &y_1 = u, \quad y_2 = -J_{z_1} u, \quad y_3 = -J_{z_2} u, \quad y_4 = -J_{z_3} u, \end{aligned}$$

form the orthonormal bases for $V_{min;+}^{1,2;+}$ and $V_{min;-}^{1,2;-}$, respectively. We define the correspondence: $A\colon x_i \mapsto y_i$ and $C\colon z_i \mapsto z_i$ and extend it by linearity It is easy to check that $\Phi_2 = A \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{1,2}}$ defines an isomorphism between the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{1,2}(V_{min;+}^{1,2;+})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{1,2}(V_{min;-}^{1,2;-})$.

Case $\mathscr{N}_{1,6}$. This case is similar to $\mathscr{N}_{1,2}$ and we construct an isomorphism $\Phi = A \oplus \mathrm{Id}\colon \mathscr{N}_{1,6}(V_{min;+}^{1,6;+}) \to \mathscr{N}_{1,6}(V_{min;-}^{1,6;-})$. We have $J_{\Omega^{1,6}} \equiv \mathrm{Id}$ on $V_{min;+}^{1,6;+}$, that implies $P_3 \equiv -\mathrm{Id}$, see Table 7. It also shows that $E_{1,6} = E_{1,6}(V_{min;+}^{1,6;+}) = \{v \in V_{min;+}^{1,6} \mid P_1(v) = v, P_2(v) = v\}$. Then if necessary, we apply Proposition 3.4.1, with the operators

$\Lambda_1 = J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_6}$ and $\Lambda_2 = J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_7}$ and obtain the orthonormal basis of $V^{1,6;+}_{min;+}$ from a suitable vector $v \in E_{1,6}(V^{1,6;+}_{min;+})$ with $\langle\, v, v\,\rangle^{1,6;+}_{V_{min};+} = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1 = v, && x_i = J_{z_{i-1}}v, && i = 1,\dots,8,\\ &x_j = J_{z_2}J_{z_{j-5}}v, && j = 9,\dots,14 && x_k = J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_{k-9}}v, \quad k = 15,16. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Let $u \in E_{1,6}(V^{1,6;-}_{min;-}) \subset V^{1,6;-}_{min;-}$ with $\langle\, u, u\,\rangle_{V^{1,6;-}_{min;-}} = -1$. Then by the same way as for $V^{1,6;+}_{min;+}$ we obtain the orthonormal basis of $V^{1,6;-}_{min;-}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &y_1 = u, && y_i = -J_{z_{i-1}}u, && i = 1,\dots,8,\\ &y_j = J_{z_2}J_{z_{j-5}}u, && j = 9,\dots,14 && y_k = -J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_{k-9}}u, \quad k = 15,16. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Then as previously, the correspondence $A\colon x_i \mapsto y_i,\ i = 1,\dots,16$, defines the Lie algebra isomorphism $\Phi = A \oplus \mathrm{Id}\colon \mathscr{N}_{1,6}(V^{1,6;+}_{min;+}) \to \mathscr{N}_{1,6}(V^{1,6;-}_{min;-})$, since the map A satisfies relation (3.3).

Case $\mathscr{N}_{5,2}$. In this case we can use bases (4.5) and (4.6), since $PI_{5,2} = PI_{1,6}$. The table of commutators will differ by signs for $z_1,\dots,z_7$. □

4.4. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.2.** Theorem 4.1.2 is concerned with the indices $r \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and $s \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and is given in Lemmas 4.4.1 and 4.4.3. The cases with $s = 0$ are classical and the result is known, for instance from [8, 13], however in order to accomplish the whole classification of pseudo H-type Lie algebras we must take into account that the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,0}(U)$ can admit a negative definite admissible scalar product on U. Thus, we can obtain the opposite sign of the restriction of the admissible scalar product on the common 1-eigenspace even for classical cases.

Proposition 4.4.1. *Let $r \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and $s \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. Then an admissible module U is decomposed into the direct sum of type* (4.2)*. Moreover*

1. *There is a Lie algebra isomorphism $\Phi\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;-})$ of the form $\Phi = A \oplus \mathrm{Id}$. There is no isomorphism of the form $\Phi = A \oplus -\mathrm{Id}$ between these algebras. Analogous results can be stated for the Lie algebras isomorphisms $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;+}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;-})$.*
2. *There is a Lie algebra isomorphism $\Phi\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;+})$ of the form $\Phi = A \oplus C$ with $\det C < 0$ and there is no isomorphism of the form $\Phi = A \oplus \mathrm{Id}$. Analogous results hold for the Lie algebra isomorphisms $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;-}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;-})$, $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;-})$, $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;+}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;-})$.*

Proof. We start from the proof of the first part. We restrict the consideration to the basic cases $(r,s) \in \{(3,0),(3,4),(7,0)\}$ because of the periodicity Theorem 4.2.5. We also can assume that $C = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}$. In order to construct an isomorphism $\Phi = A \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;-})$ we choose $v \in E_{r,s} \subset V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}$ with $\langle\, v, v\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}} = 1$ and $J_{(\Omega^{r,s})}v = v$ and a vector $u \in E_{r,s} \subset V^{r,s;-}_{min;-}$ with $\langle\, u, u\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;-}_{min;-}} = -1$ and $\tilde{J}_{(\Omega^{r,s})}u = -u$. Here $\Omega_{r,s}$ is the volume form of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ with actions $J\colon \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \to \mathrm{End}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;+})$ and $\tilde{J}\colon \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \to \mathrm{End}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;-})$.

Let $(r,s) = (3,0)$. The respective orthonormal bases are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1 = v,\ x_2 = J_{z_1}v,\ x_3 = J_{z_2}v,\ x_4 = J_{z_3}(v) && \text{for} \quad V^{3,0;+}_{min;+} \quad \text{and}\\ &y_1 = u,\ y_2 = -\tilde{J}_{z_1}u,\ y_3 = -\tilde{J}_{z_2},\ y_4 = -\tilde{J}_{z_3}u && \text{for} \quad V^{3,0;-}_{min;-}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $(r,s) = (7,0)$ the initial vector $v \in E_{7,0} \subset V^{7,0;+}_{min;+}$ satisfies $P_1v = P_2v = P_3v = P_4v = v$, where the involutions are given in Table 4. Note that

$J_{(\Omega^{7,0})} = P_1P_4v = v$. The initial vector $u \in E_{7,0} \subset V^{7,0;-}_{min;-}$ for the basis has to satisfy $P_1u = P_2u = P_3u = -P_4u = u$ with $\tilde{J}_{(\Omega^{7,0})}u = P_1P_4u = -u$. The bases are

$$\begin{array}{llll} x_1 = v, & x_j = J_{z_{j-1}}v, \quad j = 2,\dots,8 & \text{for} & V^{7,0;+}_{min;+} \quad \text{and} \\ y_1 = u, & y_j = -\tilde{J}_{z_{j-1}}u, \quad j = 2,\dots,8, & \text{for} & V^{7,0;-}_{min;-}. \end{array} \tag{4.7}$$

Let $(r,s) = (3,4)$. The basis is given by (4.7) and $\Omega^{3,4} = P_1P_3P_4$, where the involutions P_i are presented in Table 6.

The maps $\Phi = A \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}$ in all the cases are given by correspondence $A\colon x_i \mapsto y_i$. The Lie algebra isomorphisms $\Phi = A \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;+}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;-})$ are constructed analogously.

Assume that the isomorphism $\Phi = A \oplus C$ with $\det C < 0$ exists, where $A\colon V^{r,s;+}_{min;+} \to V^{r,s;-}_{min;-}$. If $(r,s) \in \{(3,0),(7,0)\}$, then $A^\tau = -{}^tA$. and $\Omega^{r,0} = -\tilde{\Omega}^{r,0} = \mathrm{Id}$ since the minimal admissible modules correspond to the non-equivalent irreducible modules. Then

$${}^tAA = -{}^tA\tilde{\Omega}^{r,0}A = A^\tau\tilde{\Omega}^{r,0}A = \prod_{i=1}^{7} J_{C(z_i)} = (\det {}^tC)\Omega^{r,0} = \det C < 0,$$

by (3.3). This is a contradiction, since the matrix ${}^tAA$ is positive definite.

Let $(r,s) = (3,4)$. The admissible scalar products restricted to common 1-eigenspace $E_{r,s}$ are sign definite and the symmetric bi-linear forms $\langle\, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;-}_{min;-}}$ and $\langle\, x, y\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}}$ restricted to the common 1-eigenspaces are related through the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;-}_{min;-}} &= \langle\, Ax, Ay\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;-}_{min;-}} = -\langle\, \tilde{\Omega}^{3,4}Ax, Ay\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;-}_{min;-}} = -\langle\, A^\tau\tilde{\Omega}^{3,4}Ax, y\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}} \\ &= -\langle \prod_{i=1}^{7} J_{C(z_i)}x, y\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}} = -\det C^\tau\ \langle\, \Omega^{3,4}x, y\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}} \\ &= -\det C^\tau\ \langle\, x, y\,\rangle_{V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

The signs of the values of two symmetric bi-linear forms coincide if $\det C < 0$ and opposite if $\det C > 0$. We conclude that there is no Lie algebra isomorphism $\Phi\colon \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;-})$ of the form $\Phi = A \oplus -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}$. Remind that the map A maps the common 1-eigenspace from $V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}$ to common 1-eigenspace from $V^{r,s;-}_{min;+}$ by the construction described in Section 3.2 after Proposition 3.2.4.

The results for the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;+})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;-})$ can be shown similarly.

We prove now the second part of the lemma. The isomorphisms

$$\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min+}) \cong \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;+}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;-}) \cong \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;-})$$

under the map $\Phi = \mathrm{Id} \oplus -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{r,s}}$ are given in Lemma 3.2.5. The reader can find the isomorphisms of the form $\Phi = A \oplus C$ with $\det C < 0$ for the Lie algebras

$$\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min+}) \cong \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;-}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;+}) \cong \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;-})$$

in [18, Theorem 12]. The non existence results are proved in a similar way as for the part 1 of the Lemma. □

We state separately a corollary of Proposition 4.4.1 that is core for the proof of Theorem 4.1.2.

Corollary 4.4.2. *There exists a Lie algebra isomorphism* $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;-})$ *of the form* $\Phi = A \oplus \mathrm{Id}$. *There does not exist a Lie algebra isomorphism* $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}) \to \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;-}_{min;+})$ *of the form* $\Phi = A \oplus \mathrm{Id}$.

Lemma 4.4.3. *The Lie algebras* $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$, $(r,s) \in \{(3,0),(7,0),(3,4)\}$, *are completely determined by the pair of numbers* $(p = p^+_+ + p^-_-,\ q = p^-_+ + p^+_-)$ *in the decomposition of the admissible module* U*:*

$$U = \big(\overset{p^+_+}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}\big) \oplus \big(\overset{p^-_+}{\oplus} V^{r,s;-}_{min;+}\big) \oplus \big(\overset{p^+_-}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min;-}\big) \oplus \big(\overset{p^-_-}{\oplus} V^{r,s;-}_{min;-}\big).$$

Proof. Let U be an arbitrary admissible module of $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ for $(r,s) \in \{(3,0),(7,0),(3,4)\}$. First we decompose U into the sum of irreducible modules:

$$U = \big(\overset{p_+}{\oplus} V^{r,s}_{irr;+}\big) \oplus \big(\overset{p_-}{\oplus} V^{r,s}_{irr;-}\big) = U_+ \oplus U_-.$$

Then, $\Omega^{r,s} = \mathrm{Id}_{U_+}$ and $\Omega^{r,s} = -\mathrm{Id}_{U_-}$. We decompose the submodules U_+ and U_- into the minimal admissible modules

$$U_+ = \big(\overset{p^+_+}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}\big) \oplus \big(\overset{p^-_+}{\oplus} V^{r,s;-}_{min;+}\big) \quad \text{and} \quad U_- = \big(\overset{p^+_-}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min;-}\big) \oplus \big(\overset{p^-_-}{\oplus} V^{r,s;-}_{min-}\big),$$

where $V^{r,s;+}_{min;\pm}$ are the minimal admissible modules for which the restriction of the admissible scalar product on the common 1-eigenspace $E_{r,s}$ is positive definite and $V^{r,s;-}_{min;\pm}$ are those where the restriction of the scalar product on $E_{r,s}$ is negative definite. It was stated in Proposition 4.4.1, item (1), and Corollary 4.4.2 that

$$\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U) \overset{\Phi}{\cong} \mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U^+) \quad \text{with} \quad U^+ = \big(\overset{p=p^+_+ + p^-_-}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}\big) \oplus \big(\overset{q=p^-_+ + p^+_-}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min;-}\big),$$

where $\Phi = A \oplus \mathrm{Id}$. Thus we can consider only the case when the restrictions on $E_{r,s}$ of the scalar product is positive definite. Since the isomorphism between Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;+})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s;+}_{min;-})$ can not admit the form $A \oplus \mathrm{Id}$ by Corollary 4.4.2, we conclude that two Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ and $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(\tilde{U})$ with

$$U = \big(\overset{p}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}\big) \oplus \big(\overset{q}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min;-}\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{U} = \big(\overset{\tilde{p}}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min;+}\big) \oplus \big(\overset{\tilde{q}}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min;-}\big)$$

are isomorphic if and only if either $(p,q) = (\tilde{p},\tilde{q})$ or $(p,q) = (\tilde{q},\tilde{p})$. □

4.5. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.3.** In order to prove Theorem 4.1.3, we consider the low values of the indices: $r = 3$, $s = 1,2,3,5,6,7$ or $r = 7$, $s = 1,2,3$ and then we apply the periodicity Theorem 4.2.5. An admissible module U has decomposition of the type (4.1). Theorem 2.6.2 shows that the restriction of the scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{V^{r,s}_{min}}$ on the common 1-eigenspace $E_{3,s}$ for $s = 1,2,3,4,5,6,7$ and $E_{7,s}$, $s = 1,2,3$ is sign definite. We denote by $V^{r,s;+}_{\min} = (V^{r,s;+}_{\min}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{V^{r,s;+}_{min}})$ the minimal admissible module with positive definite metric on $E_{r,s}$. Analogously, we write $V^{r,s;-}_{min} = (V^{r,s;-}_{min}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{V^{r,s;-}_{min}})$ for the minimal admissible module with negative definite metric on $E_{r,s}$. We note that all the systems $PI_{r,s}$ include the involution $P = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$ for mentioned values of r and s.

Consider pseudo H-type algebras $\mathscr{N}_{3,s}(V^{3,s;+}_{min})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{3,s}(V^{3,s;-}_{min})$ for $s = 1,2,3$. The natural inclusion $\mathbb{R}^{3,0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,s}$ allows to consider the module $V^{3,s;+}_{min}$ as the admissible module $U = V^{3,0;+}_{min;+} \oplus V^{3,0;-}_{min;-}$ of $\mathrm{Cl}_{3,0}$. The module U have to include both eigenspaces of the involution $P = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$ and therefore $U = V^{3,s;+}_{min}$ includes both irreducible modules $V^{3,0}_{min;\pm}$. The metric on $U = V^{3,s;+}_{min}$ is neutral and therefore the irreducible modules $V^{3,0}_{min;\pm}$ have to carry the definite metrics of opposite signs. Analogous

considerations can be done with $V^{3,s;-}_{min}$. This and the item (1) of Lemma 4.4.1 imply the existence of the isomorphisms

$$A_1 \oplus \mathrm{Id}\colon \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(V^{3,s;+}_{min}) \to \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(V^{3,0;+}_{min;+} \oplus V^{3,0;-}_{min;-}) \to \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(\overset{2}{\oplus} V^{3,0;+}_{min;+}),$$
$$A_2 \oplus \mathrm{Id}\colon \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(V^{3,s;-}_{min}) \to \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(V^{3,0;-}_{min;+} \oplus V^{3,0;+}_{min;-}) \to \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(\overset{2}{\oplus} V^{3,0;+}_{min;-}).$$

for any $s = 1, 2, 3$. More generally there are Lie algebra isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{N}_{3,0}(U) &= \mathscr{N}_{3,0}\big((\overset{p^+}{\oplus} V^{3,s;+}_{min}) \bigoplus (\overset{p^-}{\oplus} V^{3,s;-}_{min})\big) \cong \mathscr{N}_{3,0}\big((\overset{2p^+}{\oplus} V^{3,0;+}_{min;+}) \bigoplus (\overset{2p^-}{\oplus} V^{3,s;+}_{min;-})\big)\\ &= \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(U).\end{aligned}$$

The projection map $\pi\colon \mathbb{R}^{3,s} \to \mathbb{R}^{3,0}$, where z_i, $i = 3+1, \dots 3+s$, are mapped to zero, defines a Lie algebra surjective homomorphism $\mathrm{Id} \oplus \pi\colon \mathscr{N}_{3,s}(U) \to \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(U)$. We assume now that there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism

$$A \oplus C\colon \mathscr{N}_{3,s}(U) \to \mathscr{N}_{3,s}(\widetilde{U}), \quad \text{where} \quad \widetilde{U} = \big(\overset{\tilde{p}^+}{\oplus} V^{3,s;+}_{min}\big) \oplus \big(\overset{\tilde{p}^-}{\oplus} V^{3,s;-}_{min}\big).$$

Then it defines a Lie algebra isomorphism $A \oplus C'\colon \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(U) \to \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(\widetilde{U})$ where C' is the restriction $C|_{\mathbb{R}^{3,0}}$ of the map C on $\mathbb{R}^{3,0}$. We define

$$\pi'\colon \mathbb{R}^{3,s} \to \mathbb{R}^{3,0} \quad \text{as} \quad \pi'(C(z_i)) = \begin{cases} C'(z_i) & \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3,\\ 0 & \text{for } i = 3+1, \dots, 3+s.\end{cases}$$

Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{N}_{3,s}(U) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}\oplus\pi} & \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(U) \\ {\scriptstyle A\oplus C}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle A\oplus C'} \\ \mathscr{N}_{3,s}(\tilde{U}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}\oplus\pi'} & \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(\tilde{U}) \end{array} \tag{4.9}$$

commuts. We conclude that $\mathscr{N}_{3,s}(U)$ and $\mathscr{N}_{3,s}(\widetilde{U})$ are isomorphic, if and only if $p^+ = \tilde{p}^+$ and $p^- = \tilde{p}^-$ or $p^+ = \tilde{p}^-$ and $p^- = \tilde{p}^+$ by Theorem 4.1.2, see also [8].

In order to prove the reduction of $\mathscr{N}_{3,s}(V^{3,s;\pm}_{min})$ to $\mathscr{N}_{3,0}(V^{3,0;\pm}_{min;\pm})$ for $s = 5, 6, 7$ we observe that there are Lie algebra isomorphisms

$$A_1 \oplus \mathrm{Id}\colon \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(V^{3,s;+}_{min}) \to \mathscr{N}_{3,0}\big((\overset{\alpha(s)}{\oplus} V^{3,0;+}_{min;+}) \oplus (\overset{\alpha(s)}{\oplus} V^{3,0;-}_{min;-})\big) \to \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(\overset{2\alpha(s)}{\oplus} V^{3,0;+}_{min;+}),$$
$$A_2 \oplus \mathrm{Id}\colon \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(V^{3,s;-}_{min}) \to \mathscr{N}_{3,0}\big((\overset{\alpha(s)}{\oplus} V^{3,0;-}_{min;+}) \oplus (\overset{\alpha(s)}{\oplus} V^{3,0;+}_{min;-})\big) \to \mathscr{N}_{3,0}(\overset{2\alpha(s)}{\oplus} V^{3,0;+}_{min;-}),$$

where $\alpha(5) = 2$, $\alpha(6) = 4$ and $\alpha(7) = 8$. The rest of the proof is made analogously.

Literally the same reduction is made for the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{7,s}(V^{7,s;\pm}_{min})$ to the Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{7,0}(V^{7,0;\pm}_{min;\pm})$ for $s = 1, 2, 3$. The theorem is proved.

4.6. **Final result of the classification.** The following statement can be proved analogously to Theorem 4.2.5.

Theorem 4.6.1. *If the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U^{r,s})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{s,r}(\tilde{U}^{s,r})$ are isomorphic, then the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r+\mu,s+\nu}(U^{r+\mu,s+\nu})$ and $\mathscr{N}_{s+\nu,r+\mu}(\tilde{U}^{s+\nu,r+\mu})$ are also isomorphic for $(\mu, \nu) \in \{(8,0), (0,8), (4,4)\}$.*

We showed in Theorem 4.1.1 that the Lie algebras $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ for $r \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod 4$ are defined by the dimension of the admissible module U. If $r \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ the Lie algebra $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ depends on the decompositions

$$U = \big(\overset{p}{\oplus} V^{r,s}_{min;+}\big) \bigoplus \big(\overset{q}{\oplus} V^{r,s}_{min;-}\big) \quad \text{or} \quad U = \big(\overset{p}{\oplus} V^{r,s;+}_{min}\big) \bigoplus \big(\overset{q}{\oplus} V^{r,s;-}_{min}\big), \tag{4.10}$$

where the numbers p, q are defined in Theorems 4.1.2 and 4.1.3. We call admissible modules with decompositions (4.10) *isotypic* if one of the numbers p or q vanishes. Otherwise the admissible module is called *nonisotypic*.

Theorem 4.6.2. *Let* $r \equiv 0, 1, 2 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$ *and* $s \equiv 0, 1, 2 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$. *Then* $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U) \cong \mathscr{N}_{s,r}(\tilde{U})$ *if* $\dim(U) = \dim(\tilde{U})$.

Let $r \equiv 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 8)$, $s \equiv 0, 4, 5, 6 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 8)$ *or* $r \equiv 7 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 8)$, $s \equiv 0, 1, 2 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 8)$. *Then* $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U) \cong \mathscr{N}_{s,r}(\tilde{U})$ *if* $\dim(U) = \dim(\tilde{U})$ *and* U *is an isotypic admissible module.*

Let $r \equiv 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 8)$ *and* $s \equiv 1, 2, 7 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 8)$. *Then* $\mathscr{N}_{3,s}(U)$ *is never isomorphic to* $\mathscr{N}_{s,3}(\tilde{U})$.

We summarise the results of Theorem 4.6.2 in Table 3. We distinguish the columns for $r = 3$ and $r = 7$ for isotypic and nonisotypic modules. We write the symbol $\cong$ in the place (r, s) if $\mathscr{N}_{r,s}(U)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{N}_{s,r}(\tilde{U})$ and the isomorphism only depends on the dimension of the admissible module. For example, $\mathscr{N}_{3,0}(U) \cong \mathscr{N}_{0,3}(\tilde{U})$ if $\dim(U) = \dim(\tilde{U})$ and U is isotypic and $\mathscr{N}_{3,0}(U) \ncong \mathscr{N}_{0,3}(\tilde{U})$ if U is non-isotypic. We have $\mathscr{N}_{3,1}(U) \ncong \mathscr{N}_{1,3}(\tilde{U})$ for any admissible modules U and $\tilde{U}$ even if $\dim(U) = \dim(\tilde{U})$ and the module U of $\mathrm{Cl}_{3,1}$ is isotypic: $U = \overset{p}{\oplus} V_{\min}^{3,1;+}$ or $U = \overset{q}{\oplus} V_{\min}^{3,1;-}$.

Table 3. Final result of the classification

8	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\circlearrowleft$
7	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\ncong$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\cong$
6	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\circlearrowleft$	$\ncong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$
5	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$	$\circlearrowleft$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$
4	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\circlearrowleft$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$
3	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\ncong$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$
2	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\circlearrowleft$	$\ncong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$
1	$\cong$	$\circlearrowleft$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$
0		$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\cong$	$\ncong$	$\cong$
s/r	0	1	2	3 isotyp	3 nonisotyp	4	5	6	7 isotyp	7 nonisotyp	8

5. Appendix

We give the collections $PI_{r,s}$ and $CO_{r,s}$ for basic cases (2.8) grouped in four tables. The dimensions of $E_{r,s}$ and signature of the scalar product restricted to $E_{r,s}$ are listed. First we mention trivial cases.

$$PI_{1,0} = PI_{0,1} = PI_{2,0} = PI_{1,1} = PI_{0,2} = PI_{2,1} = PI_{0,3} = \emptyset,$$
$$PI_{3,0} = PI_{1,2} = \{P = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_3}\}, \quad CO_{3,0} = CO_{1,2} = \emptyset$$

For the cases (r, s) of $r - s \equiv 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$ and s even, there are no a complementary operator which commutes with all the involutions in $PI_{r,s}$ except the last involution which is of the form $\mathcal{P}_3$ or $\mathcal{P}_4$ and anti-commutes with the last involution. In these cases the operator $J_{\Omega^{r,s}}$ is a product of involutions in $PI_{r,s}$ and it commutes with all the operators J_z. This is the reason for the number of complementary operators to be $p_{r,s} - 1$. The last operator in $PI_{r,s}$ of the form $\mathcal{P}_3$ or $\mathcal{P}_4$ distinguishes the two different minimal admissible modules.

The signature on the admissible scalar product restricted on the space $E_{r,s}$ is sign definite in Table 6 and is neutral for signatures (r, s) in Table 7. The latter can be seen by finding an additional negative operator other than operators in $CO_{r,s}$ which commutes with all the involutions in $PI_{r,s}$.

TABLE 4. Systems $PI_{r,0}$ and $CO_{r,0}$, $r = 4, \dots, 7$

$PI_{r,0}\backslash CO_{r,0}$	isom	isom	isom	$\dim(E_{r,0})$ and signature
$PI_{4,0}\backslash CO_{4,0}$	J_{z_1}			
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$	-1			$\dim(E_{4,0}) = 4,\ \pm$
$PI_{5,0}\backslash CO_{5,0}$	J_{z_2}	J_{z_1}		
$P_1 = J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}J_{z_5}$	-1	1		
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$		-1		$\dim(E_{5,0}) = 2,\ \pm$
$PI_{6,0}\backslash CO_{6,0}$	J_{z_1}	J_{z_5}	$J_{z_2}J_{z_4}$	
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$	-1	1	1	
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}J_{z_6}$		-1	1	
$P_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}$			-1	$\dim(E_{6,0}) = 1, \pm$
$PI_{7,0}\backslash CO_{7,0}$	J_{z_1}	$J_{z_1}J_{z_3}$	$J_{z_1}J_{z_2}$	
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$	-1	1	1	
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}J_{z_6}$		-1	1	
$P_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}$			-1	$\dim(E_{7,0}) = 1,\ \pm$
$P_4 = J_{z_5}J_{z_6}J_{z_7}$				

TABLE 5. Systems $PI_{r,4}$ and $CO_{r,4}$, $r = 0, 1, 2$

$PI_{r,4}\backslash CO_{r,4}$	isom	anti-isom	isom	$\dim(E_{r,4})$ and signature	basis for $E_{r,4}$
$PI_{0,4} = PI_{4,0}\backslash CO_{0,4}$		J_{z_2}			
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$		-1		$\dim(E_{0,4}) = 4,\ \pm$	$v,\quad J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v,$ $J_{z_1}J_{z_3}v,\quad J_{z_1}J_{z_4}v$
$PI_{1,4} = PI_{5,0}\backslash CO_{1,4}$		J_{z_2}	J_{z_1}		
$P_1 = J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}J_{z_5}$		-1	1		
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$			-1	$\dim(E_{1,4}) = 2,\ \pm$	$v,\quad J_{z_1}v$
$PI_{2,4} = PI_{6,0}\backslash CO_{2,4}$	J_{z_1}	J_{z_5}	$J_{z_1}J_{z_2}$		
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$	-1	1	1		
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}J_{z_6}$		-1	1		
$P_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}$			-1	$\dim(E_{2,4}) = 1,\ \pm$	v

REFERENCES

[1] D. V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés, *Classification of N-(super)-extended algebras and bilinear invariants of the spinor representation of $Spin(p, q)$*. Comm. Math. Phys. **183** (1997), no. 3, 477–510.

[2] A. Altomani, A. Santi, *Classification of maximal transitive prolongations of super-Poincaré algebras*. Adv. Math. **265** (2014), 60–96.

[3] M. S. Atiyah, R. Bott, A. Shapiro, *Clifford modules*. Topology **3** (1964), 3–38.

[4] C. Autenried, K. Furutani, I. Markina, A. Vasiliev, *Pseudo-metric 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras*, arXiv 1508.02882, to appear in Adv. Geom.

[5] P. E. Barbano, *Automorphisms and quasi-conformal mappings of Heisenberg-type groups*. J. Lie Theory **8** (1998), no. 2, 255–277.

[6] W. Bauer, K. Furutani, C. Iwasaki, *Spectral zeta function on pseudo H-type nilmanifolds*. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **46** (2015), no. 4, 539–582.

[7] A. Bellaïche and J. J. Risler, *Sub-Riemannian geometry*. Edited by André Bellaïche and Jean-Jacques Risler. Progress in Mathematics, 144. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996. 393 pp.

[8] J. Berndt, F. Tricerri, L. Vanhecke, *Generalized Heisenberg groups and Damek-Ricci harmonic spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1598**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. 125 pp.

[9] T. Bieske, J. Gong, *The P-Laplace equation on a class of Grushin-type spaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 12, 3585–3594.

[10] O. Calin, D. C. Chang, K. Furutani, C. Iwasaki, *Heat kernels for elliptic and sub-elliptic operators*. Methods and techniques. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2011. 433 pp.

[11] P. Ciatti, *Scalar products on Clifford modules and pseudo-H-type Lie algebras*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **178** (2000), no. 4, 1–32.

[12] G. Crandall, J. Dodziuk, *Integral structures on H-type Lie algebras*. J. Lie Theory **12** (2002), no. 1, 69–79.

[13] M. Cowling, A. H. Dooley, A. Korányi, F. Ricci, *H-type groups and Iwasawa decompositions*. Adv. Math. **87** (1991), no. 1, 1–41.

TABLE 6. Systems $PI_{3,s}$ and $CO_{3,s}$, $s = 1, \dots, 7$ and $PI_{7,s}$, $CO_{7,s}$, $s = 1, 2, 3$

$PI_{r,s}\backslash CO_{r,s}$	isom	isom	isom	anti-isom	$\dim(E_{3,s})$	basis for $E_{3,s}$
$PI_{3,1}\backslash CO_{3,1}$				J_{z_4}		
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$				-1	$\dim(E_{3,1}) = 4$	$v, J_{z_1}v, J_{z_2}v, J_{z_3}v$
$PI_{3,2}\backslash CO_{3,2}$			J_{z_1}	$J_{z_2}J_{z_4}$		
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_5}$			-1	1		
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$				-1	$\dim(E_{3,2}) = 2$	$v, J_{z_3}v$
$PI_{3,3}\backslash CO_{3,3}$		J_{z_1}	J_{z_3}	$J_3J_{z_6}$		
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_5}$		-1	1	1		
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}J_{z_6}$			-1	1		
$P_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$				-1	$\dim(E_{3,3}) = 1$	v
$PI_{3,4}\backslash CO_{3,4}$		J_{z_1}	J_{z_3}	J_{z_6}		
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_5}$		-1	1	1		
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}$			-1	1		
$P_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_6}J_{z_7}$				-1	$\dim(E_{3,4}) = 1$	v
$P_4 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$						
$PI_{3,5}\backslash CO_{3,5}$	J_{z_1}	$J_{z_6}J_{z_8}$	J_{z_3}	J_{z_8}		
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_5}$	-1	1	1	1		
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_6}J_{z_7}$		-1	1	1		
$P_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}$			-1	1		
$P_4 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$				-1	$\dim(E_{3,5}) = 1$	v
$PI_{3,6} = PI_{3,5}$	$CO_{3,6} = CO_{3,5}$				$\dim(E_{3,6}) = 2$	$v, \quad J_{z_8}J_{z_9}v$
$PI_{3,7} = PI_{3,5}$	$CO_{3,7} = CO_{3,5}$				$\dim(E_{3,7}) = 4$	$v, \quad J_{z_8}J_{z_9}v,$ $J_{z_8}J_{z_{10}}v, \quad J_{z_9}J_{z_{10}}v$
$PI_{7,1}\backslash CO_{7,1}$	J_{z_1}	J_{z_5}	J_{z_7}	J_{z_8}		
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$	-1	1	1	1		
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}J_{z_6}$		-1	1	1		
$P_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}$			-1	1		
$P_4 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$				-1	$\dim(E_{7,1}) = 1$	v
$PI_{7,2} = PI_{71}$	$CO_{7,2} = CO_{7,1}$				$\dim(E_{7,2}) = 2$	$v, \quad J_{z_8}J_{z_9}v$
$PI_{7,3} = PI_{71}$	$CO_{7,3} = CO_{7,1}$				$\dim(E_{7,3}) = 4$	$v, \quad J_{z_8}J_{z_9}v,$ $J_{z_8}J_{z_{10}}v, \quad J_{z_9}J_{z_{10}}v$

[14] V. del Barco, *Homogeneous geodesics in pseudo-Riemannian nilmanifolds.* Adv. Geom. **16** (2016), no. 2, 175–187.

[15] P. Eberlein, *Geometry of 2-step nilpotent groups with a left invariant metric.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **(4) 27** (1994), no. 5, 611–660.

[16] P. Eberlein, *Riemannian submersion and lattices in 2-step nilpotent Lie groups*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **11** (2003), no. 3, 441–488.

[17] K. Furutani, I. Markina, *Existence of the lattice on general H-type groups.* J. Lie Theory, **24**, (2014), 979–1011.

[18] K. Furutani, I. Markina, *Complete classification of pseudo H-type algebras: I.* Geom. Dedicata, DOI :10.1007/s10711-017-0225-1.

[19] M. Godoy Molina, A. Korolko, I. Markina, *Sub-semi-Riemannian geometry of general H-type groups.* Bull. Sci. Math. **137** (2013), no. 6, 805–833.

[20] J. Heinonen, *Calculus on Carnot groups.* Fall School in Analysis (Jyväskylä, 1994), 1–31, Report, 68, Univ. Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, 1995.

[21] D. Husemoller, *Fibre bundles.* Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 20. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975. pp. 327.

[22] A. Kaplan, *Fundamental solutions for a class of hypoelliptic PDE generated by composition of quadratic forms.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **258** (1980), no. 1, 147–153.

[23] A. Kaplan, *Riemannian nilmanifolds attached to Clifford modules*, Geom. Dedicata **11**, (1981), 127–136.

[24] A. Kaplan, A. Tiraboschi, *Automorphisms of non-singular nilpotent Lie algebras.* J. Lie Theory **23** (2013), no. 4, 1085–1100.

[25] A. Kocsard, G. P. Ovando, S. Reggiani, *On first integrals of the geodesic flow on Heisenberg nilmanifolds.* Differential Geom. Appl. **49** (2016), 496–509.

[26] T. Y. Lam, *The algebraic theory of quadratic forms.* Mathematics Lecture Note Series. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., Reading, Mass., 1973, pp. 344.

TABLE 7. Systems $PI_{r,s}$ and $CO_{r,s}$ for Proposition 2.6.2

$PI_{r,s}\backslash CO_{r,s}$	isom	isom	isom	anti-isom	$\dim(E_{r,s})$
$PI_{1,3}\backslash CO_{1,3}$		$J_{z_2}J_{z_4}$			
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$		-1			$\dim(E_{1,3}) = 4$
$PI_{2,2}\backslash CO_{2,2}$		J_{z_1}			
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$		-1			$\dim(E_{2,2}) = 4$
$PI_{2,3}\backslash CO_{2,3}$		J_{z_1}	$J_{z_1}J_{z_2}$		
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$		-1	1		
$P_2 = J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}$			-1		$\dim(E_{2,3}) = 2$
$PI_{0,5}\backslash CO_{0,5}$	$J_{z_1}J_{z_5}$				
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$	-1				$\dim(E_{0,5}) = 8$
$PI_{0,6}\backslash CO_{0,6}$	$J_{z_1}J_{z_5}$	$J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$			
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$	-1	1			
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}J_{z_6}$		-1			$\dim(E_{0,6}) = 4$
$PI_{0,7}\backslash CO_{0,7}$	$J_{z_1}J_{z_5}$	$J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$	$J_{z_5}J_{z_6}$		
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$	-1	1	1		
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}J_{z_6}$		-1	1		
$P_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}$			-1		$\dim(E_{0,7}) = 2$
$PI_{1,5}\backslash CO_{1,5}$	$J_{z_5}J_{z_6}$	$J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$			
$P_1 = J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}J_{z_5}$	-1	1			
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$		-1			$\dim(E_{1,5}) = 4$
$PI_{1,6}\backslash CO_{1,6}$	$J_{z_5}J_{z_6}$	$J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$		$J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_6}$	
$P_1 = J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}J_{z_5}$	-1	1		1	
$P_2 = J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_6}J_{z_7}$		-1		1	
$P_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$				1	$\dim(E_{1,6}) = 4$
$PI_{1,7}\setminus CO_{1,7}$	$J_{z_5}J_{z_6}$	$J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$	$J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_6}J_{z_8}$		
$P_1 = J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}J_{z_5}$	-1	1	1		
$P_2 = J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_6}J_{z_7}$		-1	1		
$P_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$			-1		$\dim(E_{1,7}) = 4$
$PI_{2,5}\backslash CO_{2,5}$	J_{z_1}	$J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_7}$	$J_{z_5}J_{z_6}$		
$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$	-1	1	1		
$P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}J_{z_6}$		-1	1		
$P_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}$			-1		$\dim(E_{2,5}) = 2$
$PI_{2,6} = PI_{2,5}$	$CO_{2,6} = CO_{2,5}$				$\dim(E_{2,6}) = 4$
$PI_{2,7} = PI_{2,5}$	$CO_{2,7} = CO_{2,5}$				$\dim(E_{2,7}) = 8$
$PI_{4,1} = PI_{0,5}$	$CO_{4,1} = \{J_{z_1}\}$				$\dim(E_{4,1}) = 8$
$PI_{4,2} = PI_{0,6}$	$CO_{4,2} = \{J_{z_1}, J_{z_2}J_{z_3}\}$				$\dim(E_{4,2}) = 4$
$PI_{4,3} = PI_{0,7}$	$CO_{4,3} = \{J_{z_1}, J_{z_2}J_{z_3}, J_{z_5}J_{z_6}\}$				$\dim(E_{4,3}) = 2$
$PI_{5,1} = PI_{1,5}$	$CO_{5,1} = \{J_{z_5}, J_{z_3}J_{z_4}\}$				$\dim(E_{5,1}) = 4$
$PI_{5,2} = PI_{1,6}$	$CO_{5,2} = \{J_{z_5}, J_{z_3}J_{z_4}, J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_6}\}$				$\dim(E_{5,2}) = 4$
$PI_{5,3} = PI_{1,7}$	$CO_{5,3} = \{J_{z_5}, J_{z_3}J_{z_4}, J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_6}J_{z_8}\}$				$\dim(E_{5,3}) = 4$
$PI_{6,1} = PI_{2,5}$	$CO_{6,1} = \{J_{z_1}, J_{z_1}J_{z_3}, J_{z_5}J_{z_6}\}$				$\dim(E_{6,1}) = 2$
$PI_{6,2} = PI_{2,5}$	$CO_{6,2} = CO_{6,1}$				$\dim(E_{6,2}) = 4$
$PI_{6,3} = PI_{2,5}$	$CO_{6,3} = CO_{6,1}$				$\dim(E_{6,3}) = 8$

[27] H. B. Lawson, M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*. Princeton Mathematical Series, 38. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. pp. 427.

[28] A. I. Malćev, *On a class of homogeneous spaces*. Amer. Math. Soc. Translation 39, 1951; Izv. Akad. Nauk USSR, Ser. Mat. **13** (1949), 9-32.

[29] P. Pansu, *Carnot-Carathéodory metrics and quasi-isometries of rank-one symmetric spaces*. Ann. of Math. (2) **129** (1989), no. 1, 1–60.

[30] C. Riehm, *The automorphism group of a composition of quadratic forms*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **269** (1982), no. 2, 403–414.

[31] L. Saal, *The automorphism group of a Lie algebra of Heisenberg type*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **54** (1996), no. 2, 101–113.

[32] H. Tamaru, H. Yoshida, *Lie groups locally isomorphic to generalized Heisenberg groups*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 9, 3247–3254.

K. FURUTANI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 2641 YAMAZAKI, NODA, CHIBA (278-8510), JAPAN

E-mail address: furutani_kenro@ma.noda.tus.ac.jp

I. Markina: Department of Mathematics, University of Bergen, P.O. Box 7803, Bergen N-5020, Norway

E-mail address: irina.markina@uib.no